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NPFL Invasion: December 24, 1989

On December 24, 1989, Charles Taylor led the National Patriotic Front of Liberia (NPFL) in an invasion of Liberia, thus beginning a civil war that would last more than seven years. After experiencing a decade of Doe’s military regime and the failure of the 1985 elections to create real change, many Liberians supported Taylor’s plan to oust Doe.¹ The brutality that followed the 1985 attempted coup, however, foreshadowed the civil unrest that would accompany Doe’s ouster.² One statement giver described his realization that a Taylor regime would not seek change for the greater public good, but merely perpetuate yet another despot’s self-interest:

That day we witnessed someone who was begging for his life on his knees. Three rebels pushed him to the edge of the bush and then opened fire on him. That was what turned me against Charles Taylor, because I realized he was not about fighting for us.³

The first civil war unleashed a torrent of egregious violations of international human rights and humanitarian law. Fighting forces engaged in exceptional brutality against combatants and civilians alike. Deliberate targeting of and disregard for civilians were widespread and included the staging of battles in highly populated areas, attacks on unarmed civilians, widespread rape and sexual violence, hostage-taking and similar tactics designed to terrorize the population, interruption of food supplies to civilians, looting, and extremely brutal violence. These atrocities resulted in massive numbers of internally displaced people and refugees and in tremendous suffering by virtually all Liberians who remained within the country. One statement giver summarized, “I observed and heard a rebel say ‘I want to see blood.’ He took a man, cut his throat and then pulled out his intestine and stretched it like a gate and then cut off his head and put it on a tree.”⁴

The extreme violence that characterized the conflict was not the result of isolated incidents involving a few rogue fighters. Rather, these were deliberately brutal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human rights and humanitarian law violations reported during Liberia’s First Civil War:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Violence to life, health, and physical or mental well-being</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective punishments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking of hostages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outrages upon personal dignity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enslavement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acts of terrorism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced displacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Looting and pillaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary executions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attacks against civilians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attacks against humanitarian aid workers and medical personnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violation of the right to security of person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extermination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persecution against any identifiable group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedom of movement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
methods condoned, encouraged, or even directed by the faction leaders. Taylor reportedly told one statement giver’s brother, “this was a war, and that the boys are trained to kill, even if they killed his mother.” A former combatant stated that Taylor would use media broadcasts to instruct the rebels, “everybody loot, take anything you see.” Coupled with the poor training and lack of discipline among fighters, such direction encouraged a culture of unchecked violence.

**Human Rights and Humanitarian Abuses in Greater Liberia**

In the few months following the 1989 invasion, the NPFL fought its way from Nimba County to the Port of Buchanan, thus bisecting the country. With the Doe government-controlled northwest and southeast unable to coordinate, Charles Taylor was quickly able to take over the majority of the country, with the exception of Monrovia. By April 1990, 90 percent of Liberia was under NPFL control. This territory, known as Greater Liberia, remained primarily under NPFL rule for most of the first civil war. Taylor governed Greater Liberia from the town of Gbarnga.

The period from the initial invasion in December 1989, until a peacekeeping force was deployed in August 1990 marked an intense episode of fighting, killings, and other human rights violations. During this time, both rebel factions and government forces were responsible for the deaths of thousands of civilians. As Taylor’s NPFL sought to gain control over territory and the Doe government sought to repress it, civilians became both the collateral damage and intended targets of opposing forces.

**Forced Displacement**

Those living within Greater Liberia, particularly Nimba County, experienced particularly intense fighting and hardship as the NPFL pushed forward its offensive. The insurgency resulted in massive displacement, and it is estimated that approximately half of Liberia’s population was displaced in 1990. While in many cases Liberians fled to escape the fighting, in other cases they fled after their family members had been killed and homes destroyed. A statement giver living in Grand Gedeh described how she and her brother’s family fled after rebels attacked them in 1990:

My sister-in-law was pregnant at the time and the rebels cut her open to see the sex of the baby…At the time of the fighting after my sister-in-law was killed, I was beat and hit on the back of the head – knocked unconscious.
My brother went missing at that time. I have not heard from him since that day – 18 years ago. My brother had two kids, and I recall one of the kids was shot in the head…My Aunt’s son (cousin) was an adult and killed by the rebels. Everyone ran for their lives at this time and went to Côte d’Ivoire.16

In some cases, rebels used forced displacement as a technique to clear the area for their occupation. Statements reveal how combatants displaced entire villages by forcing residents to march long distances from home.17 One statement giver described how Taylor’s rebels captured his hometown in 1991 and forced one hundred men to walk all night from Tubmanburg to Kakata, a distance of nearly 40 miles.18 Another statement giver summarized his experience:

[NPFL] rebels [took] me to the Catholic church in Plibo along with approximately fifty to one hundred other people, including some friends of mine. After I was taken to the church, the rebels burned my house down. They also burned a number of other houses within Plibo. The rebel soldiers forced the people taken to the Catholic Church in Plibo, who were essentially prisoners (and were not free to leave), to walk to Mmalu, another village in Plibo district, approximately 18-20 miles from Plibo. It took us about two days to walk to Mmalu. The rebel soldiers walked behind us, but did not mistreat us.19

“1. The displacement of the civilian population shall not be ordered for reasons related to the conflict unless the security of the civilians involved or imperative military reasons so demand. Should such displacements have to be carried out, all possible measures shall be taken in order that the civilian population may be received under satisfactory conditions of shelter, hygiene, health, safety and nutrition.
2. Civilians shall not be compelled to leave their own territory for reasons connected with the conflict.” Art. 17, Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts, Protocol II.

Food as a Weapon of War

The NPFL offensive resulted in food shortage, particularly in the early years of the war.20 The insurgency drove farmers off their lands, leaving some crops in the ground and other fields unplanted.21 One statement giver described how Taylor forced people to join the conflict by threatening to cut off food supplies if they did not participate.22 He stated that Taylor told a group of displaced people that, if they joined him, they would “get food; if not, no food.”23 Another statement giver stated that child soldiers refused to let them harvest food because of their belief that the villagers, especially older women, would turn into feline spirits at night and harm the rebels.24 Water deprivation was
another significant concern. Some statements indicate that lack of food and water was not merely a side effect, but a deliberate tactic used to kill people through such deprivation. Statements revealed accounts of combatants contaminating water supplies by throwing corpses into wells or streams. The shortages that ensued drove more people to become refugees. The combination of forced displacement and scarcity of food and water resulted in thousands of deaths from malnutrition and sickness.

Widespread Looting

Rebel factions did not have central supplies and so pillaged basic necessities for sustenance. A statement giver reported that fighters regularly participated in organized raids against civilians called “food attacks” or “clothes attacks” whenever they found their supplies were getting low. Charles Taylor reportedly not only tolerated such conduct but even encouraged his troops to engage in it. A former soldier who fought for the NPFL in the early years of the war summarized:

Food was scarce, so the NPFL orders were to collect rice and meat from villages they captured. Those protesting the taking of their property were killed or otherwise harmed. Usually, we would raid a village and ask the chief for food. If he said no, we would tie the chief up.

Civilians unable to provide food for rebels often faced brutal consequences. One statement giver described the death of his brother after rebels demanded livestock from him in 1990:

When the NPFL forces arrived in the village, they asked him to provide them with cows, goats, and sheep. He could not provide what was demanded of him. He was killed because he could not provide what the NPFL rebels wanted.

The pillaging and extortions were representative of the pervasive lack of discipline among rebels. Rebels did not restrict themselves to basic necessities. One statement giver stated that the rebels would “steal any and everything they could get their hands on inside of the homes,” even taking her wedding albums.

“Starvation of civilians as a method of combat is prohibited. It is therefore prohibited to attack, destroy, remove or render useless for that purpose, objects indispensable to the survival of the civilian population such as food-stuffs, agricultural areas for the production of food-stuffs, crops, livestock, drinking water installations and supplies and irrigation works.”

Art. 14, Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts.

Pillage and threats to commit pillage are prohibited “at any time and in any place whatsoever” during non-international armed conflicts. Art. 4(2)(g)-(h), Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts.
Another statement giver described the full extent to which NPFL rebels pillaged:

Rebels attacked the village, ransacking houses, killing village people, stealing property and then setting light to the houses. I was a trained midwife: the rebels even took my medical equipment and supplies…As my house had been destroyed, my family was forced to live in one room of the house. I was left with nothing: my house was burned; my possessions stolen; and my crops were burned.35

Several statement givers described how rebels abducted them or a family member in exchange for ransom.36 One statement giver described how Taylor’s rebels abducted her stepfather, forcing the family to pay $10,000 for his return.37 Another statement giver described how NPFL rebels accused him of being on a reconnaissance mission when he was actually just foraging for food.38 The rebels kept him in a jail in Scelepea until his father paid $1,500 Liberian dollars for his release.39

Restrictions on Movement

The NPFL subjected residents of Greater Liberia to a myriad of restrictions on their movement and privacy during the first few years of the war.40 Travel restrictions and checkpoints were prevalent in NPFL territory. NPFL fighters enjoyed wide freedom of movement and could visit Monrovia;41 civilians, by contrast, needed to obtain a pass from G-2 (Taylor’s intelligence and administrative center) to travel within NPFL territory.42 One statement giver described how dangerous it was to travel for both men and women.43 A rebel group would draft a man into combat or kill him; women would be at risk of attack and rape when they ventured out alone or with children to find food and firewood.44

Checkpoints provided combatants a means to target, extort, abuse, and terrorize individuals.45 Many people reported that rebels demanded their clothes, food, money, other property, or certain behavior at border crossings and checkpoints as the “price” of gaining passage without harm. One statement giver described a checkpoint

The “taking of hostages” and threats thereof are prohibited “at any time and in any place whatsoever” during non-international armed conflicts. Art. 4(2)(c), Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts.
where there was “a wall running with blood.” She said people coming through the checkpoint were ordered to drink from the pool of blood, and “if you don't drink the blood, they kill you.”

Even outside of checkpoints, people were still at risk while moving through the countryside. Another statement giver described how NPFL rebels intercepted her and her family en route to Côte d’Ivoire. The rebels forced her to watch as they cut off her husband’s ears, then forced him to eat them before killing him.

“Everyone lawfully within the territory of a State shall, within that territory, have the right to liberty of movement...” Art. 12(1), International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

**Targeting Civilian Populations**

In addition to hardship and displacement, the warfare resulted in massive civilian fatalities. Although civilians were sometimes caught in random crossfire between the factions, civilians often were the victims of deliberate, targeted killing based on their ethnicity or perceived support for a faction or the government. The Doe government targeted residents of Nimba County, particularly the Mano and Gio for their rebel support. In turn, the rebel factions targeted Doe supporters, many of whom were Krahn and Mandingo. While ethnic affiliation had been used to discriminate or favor during Americo-Liberian rule, it now served as the basis to kill, torture, rape, or otherwise punish people.

**Targeting NDPL Affiliation**

The NPFL’s intentions to target specific groups became apparent early in the conflict. A statement giver described the NPFL’s targeting in Greater Liberia a few months after the 1989 invasion:

NPFL rebels occupied Bong Mines between February and March 1990. They targeted Krahns, Mandingos, and members/officials of the Samuel K. Doe government. A group of NPFL rebels entered the home of our next door neighbor and pulled him from behind the wardrobe where he was hiding. He was taken outside and shot dead. The victim was M.Q. He was the first Krahn man that was killed in our part of the concession area.
Many statement givers reported that NPFL rebels targeted them because of their past or present employment in either the Doe administration or the Tolbert administration. Others stated the rebels targeted them because of their membership in the NDPL, Doe’s political party. A person’s affiliation with a group need not have been close for perpetrators to target him or her. Individuals who had long ended their employment with the government appear to have been targeted as aggressively as current employees. One statement giver described such a targeted attack:

A cousin of my father was branded as the one who initiated President Doe into the Poro Society Fraternity. Because of this, he was skinned alive by the rebels. They did not kill him first. They began by cutting his face and then peeled away his skin. By the time they got to his knees, he just died. His crime was his association with the president.

Some statement givers suggested that even having an education or good employment could render one susceptible to NPFL targeting.

**Targeting Ethnic and Tribal Groups**

Rebels frequently targeted people of the Mandingo ethnicity. Reasons for targeting Mandingos varied and included the misperception that Mandingos were “foreigners,” had too much wealth, or were too closely associated with the Doe government. Membership in a particular ethnic group superseded nationality, and the NPFL targeted Guineans and Malian Mandingos. One Mandingo statement giver summarized his and his family’s experience at the hands of NPFL rebels as they fled in their truck in March 1990:

[A]fter proclaiming that they were there to liberate the country, [the rebels] asked to which tribe my father belonged. When I replied that he was Mandingo, they said, “You come down.” I complied and the rebels started beating me up. My sister started crying. When the rebels accused me of being a Mandingo too, I denied that I was and also denied that I had any relation with my father. Rather, I alleged that my sister and I were just getting a ride. But the rebels said, “We can’t believe this story.” They offered to let my sister go but insisted that “you join us.” I was then beaten and tortured by the NPFL… I overheard a young rebel say, “We killed that old man.” I never laid eyes on my father again.

Mandingo, Krahn, Gio and Mano, which are commonly mentioned in historical accounts of the conflict as targeted groups, were not the only ones identified as the victims of ethnically motivated atrocities. Statement givers also gave accounts of abuse perpetrated against civilians because they
were Kru, Sarpo, Lorma, or Bassa during the first and second civil wars. The reasons why these groups may have been targeted are less well-documented. One statement giver said rebels targeted Lorma tribe members because the Vice President was Lorma, and others stated rebels targeted Sarpo because of the close connection between Sarpo and Krahn. At times, however, ethnic loyalties were unclear, blurring the motivation behind persecution. For example, while one member of the Kru tribe said the Kru were targeted by the Doe government, another member said they were targeted by rebels for past ties with the Doe government.

Statement givers described perpetrators selecting civilians for torture based on very tenuous evidence of the victims’ affiliation with a targeted group, evidence that statements revealed was often incorrect. As a result, virtually no one was safe because the risk of being mistaken as the enemy of any combatant was so high. Indeed, statements suggest that some combatants simply attributed group affiliation to their victims as an excuse to engage in random killing. As one statement giver noted “the rebels would kill people for working for the government even if the victims didn’t really work for the government… Just killing because they want to kill, that’s how I feel.”

Body markings often played a significant role in the identification of government soldiers. Statement givers reported that rebels targeted civilians at checkpoints because they had marks on their legs that appeared to be boot marks, sufficient evidence that the victim was a soldier. Rebels similarly claimed they could tell a person was a soldier from the “residual smell” of a soldier’s uniform. One statement giver stated that rebels assumed he was a soldier because he had a gunshot wound. Even the appearance of being healthy or wealthy could cause rebels to pull a person out of a checkpoint line and kill him on the assumption he must be a soldier or government loyalist.

Body marks consistent with membership in secret societies might mean the difference between torture or freedom at checkpoints. One statement giver said she was targeted as Mandingo because she had a mark on her forehead. The rebels claimed the mark proved she was Muslim because it resulted from praying prostrate. A Lorma woman who was accused of being Mandingo said she proved she was Lorma by showing her captors a mark on her back that she had received during a Lorma secret society rite. She said one of the rebels accused her of being Muslim anyway, as a justification for taking her as a bush wife.

The NPFL used a variety of means to locate and identify target groups. Checkpoints, home invasions, village raids, and even organized searches of the bush enabled combatants to seek out and persecute
targeted individuals. Checkpoints were a common place to pull people out of line. Statements revealed how rebels forced civilians at checkpoints to speak their own tribal languages to prove they were not Krahn, and how those identified as Krahn were pulled out of the line and killed. Illegal entries into civilians’ homes were another means of singling out people. Some statement givers related accounts of rebels arriving at their homes and demanding that the “Krahn dogs” come out, or shouting insults such as “You’re a Krahn woman – we smell you,” immediately before torturing them. Rebels also used informants to identify their targets. One statement giver described how Gio and Mano villagers in the Bong Mines area marked residents’ houses before the rebels arrived.

The NPFL not only aggressively sought out people, but they used deceptive tactics to lure people and facilitate massacres. One statement recounted how rebels had deceived the villagers in a town in Grand Gedeh County by claiming they came to “discuss peace.” Instead, they massacred more than fifty people, including the town chief. Survivors told another statement giver of a massacre in Youkorway-Old Town in 1990. The townspeople had gathered for a soccer game and were awaiting the arrival of an opposing team from the neighboring town. Dancing and singing as if they were the neighboring townspeople, NPFL rebels approached and began firing on the crowd at random, killing both Krahn and Gio people.

In many cases, rebels carried out summary executions predicated solely on ethnicity or government affiliation. Other statements revealed how the NPFL arrested targeted individuals who were often not seen again. One Nimba County resident described the early atrocities by NPFL rebels in her hometown:

Suddenly one day, NPFL occupied Karnplay in the morning of January 1, 1990. The rebels began arresting officials of Doe’s government amidst much shooting. Business people and people who had money were seized and taken away by the fighters. Then the shooting ceased. My mother told me later that my father who was Treasurer of the Woto Farmers Cooperative was taken away by the rebels. My father never came back and I have not seen him since.

Other family members who happened to be present were subject to punishment as well. One statement giver summarized how the NPFL punished her father, brother, and sister because her father supported Doe. “A group took my father and said he was a Doe supporter, and he was beheaded with a power saw and I was stabbed in my stomach with a bayonet from the back. They burnt my brother with
plastic and my sister’s fingers were broken.”

The killings were often preceded by multiple forms of violence. It was not uncommon for rebels to commit torture, mutilation, rapes, beatings, and other cruel treatment before or in the course of the murder. One form of torture commonly reported by statement givers was that of “tabay.” Several statement givers witnessed or were subjected to this practice, which involves tying a person with his hands behind his back so tightly that his chest protrudes—sometimes to the point of breaking the chest cavity. Tabay was occasionally followed by stabbing the victim’s chest with a bayonet and causing it to explode.

Rebels often psychologically tortured victims, forcing them to sing, dance, or cheer while witnessing the rape, torture, or killing of their loved ones or themselves. One victim described the layers of violence used by NPFL rebels when they targeted her father, a superintendent in Bomi County:

"The men told the father to dance and the townspeople to sing. There were two sisters and two brothers there, also stripped. They told the children to dance too. They told the father to drink dirty water...The rebels shot the father many times and started cutting the sisters and brothers to pieces with cutlasses."

The Armed Forces of Liberia Response

As the NPFL insurgency progressed, Doe directed the Armed Forces of Liberia (AFL) to respond with violence in both Greater Liberia and Monrovia. Government forces engaged in a violent campaign throughout the country, committing widespread killings in Monrovia, as well as indiscriminately shooting unarmed people in several villages, looting possessions, and burning homes. Liberians, particularly residents of Nimba County, were targeted for suspected opposition activity or ethnic affiliation. Statements reveal that government forces deliberately sought out and persecuted Mano, Gio, Americo-Liberians, and other suspected rebel supporters.

States Parties are “to respect and to ensure to all individuals within its territory and subject to its jurisdiction” civil and political rights, “without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status.” Art 2(1).

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.
One statement giver described how AFL soldiers came looking for his father, an outspoken critic of the Doe government, in Nimba County in early 1990. They shot up the house, stole his father’s clerical robes, and burned down the home. “They made threatening statements for my father, saying, ‘Doe told us to come and get your head. Come out and say what you’ve been preaching. We’re going to take your head out on a platter.’”

One statement giver described how AFL soldiers maintained blacklists of targeted people. The statement giver described how an AFL soldier dropped a list while searching his home. When the statement giver picked up the list, he saw it contained the names of various individuals, including his father. Next to each individual’s name was written “and family.” Some of the names on the list had been crossed off. That night, the AFL soldiers killed his father, mother, and sister.

Often, however, government soldiers failed to ascertain affiliations, thus casting a wide net that often encompassed more than the targeted groups. One statement giver summarized Doe’s sweeping violence in Nimba County after the invasion:

The news of the killings started coming to Monrovia and President Doe sent the AFL up to Nimba County to confront Taylor’s forces. The problem was that the AFL couldn’t tell rebel from civilian. Taylor’s forces would be housed in with families and sometimes civilians would set traps for AFL soldiers by offering them hospitality and then ambushing them. So, the AFL started killing everyone and the word spread that the Doe army was targeting Gio people.

Simply wearing the wrong color, bearing body markings, or other arbitrary reasons subjected persons to suspicion by government forces. One statement giver said the police mistook his parents for rebels and killed them simply because they had not fled sooner. Another statement giver described the problems he faced as a result of a skull-and-crossbones tattoo on his body. Because rebels used a similar symbol, soldiers stopped him at a checkpoint, stripped him naked, and forced him to walk through the city at the point of a bayonet. Although he had obtained the tattoo for fun upon his graduation, it caused him a great deal of trouble over the next several years, and he was subject to questioning several times.

Another statement giver described how his brother was mistaken for a rebel by Doe’s AFL soldiers:

Collective punishments and threats thereof are prohibited “at any time and in any place whatsoever” during non-international armed conflicts. Art. 4(2)(b), Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts. Protected persons include those who are not taking a direct part in or those who are no longer taking part in hostilities. Id. at Art. 4(1)
If you are a man and wear a red T-shirt and jean pants, they felt that was the rebel uniform and you were killed. They killed my brother-in-law. He had on jean pants. He liked to wear them. He did not know the AFL were looking for pants that color. The AFL were of President Doe’s tribe. They shot my brother-in-law. He drove a taxi.104

Government attempts to identify suspected rebels heightened the risks of traveling, and one statement giver described how perilous it was to move through the country at this time. He stated, “If you lived in another town and were going to Monrovia, you might reach there by the grace of God. The Liberian Army will kill you.”105

In Monrovia, as in Greater Liberia, the Doe government continued its sweep. In January and February 1990, the government made hundreds of warrantless arrests of Gio and Mano males.106 A statement giver living in Monrovia described witnessing AFL soldiers seizing Gio and Mano people in the spring of 1990: “Day and night, I saw Krahn soldiers of the AFL take away civilians of the Gio and Mano ethnic groups. These people were my neighbors who never came back after they were taken/carryied away.”107 The detentions were often coupled with the disappearances or killings of NPFL supporters, Gio, and Mano people.108 A Gio government inspector described seeing numerous corpses when AFL soldiers arrested him and took him to their barracks in 1990:

When I entered inside, I saw a lot of dead bodies -- hundreds. I could not recognize any of them. There were wounded people without any medical attention. On March 7, they sent a grader to bury the people, right behind the barracks. The grader covered them with soil.109

RISE OF THE INDEPENDENT NATIONAL PATRIOTIC FRONT OF LIBERIA (INPFL)

The hostilities between Charles Taylor’s NPFL and Doe’s AFL were soon exacerbated by the rise of another fighting faction. In July 1990, a split developed between Taylor and a group of NPFL fighters led by Prince Johnson.110 Prince Johnson launched a splinter group known as the INPFL, comprised of approximately 500 combatants.111 The INPFL gained control of areas in Monrovia112 and established its base in the city’s outskirts at Caldwell. The rise of the INPFL increased the risks to civilians, as they not only became subject to violations by fighters in INFPL territory, but also could be suspected of association with yet another faction.
Charles Taylor’s response to the splinter was particularly oppressive. One statement giver described Taylor’s reaction as follows:

Furious with Johnson’s betrayal, Taylor’s occupation of [Monrovia] was a “reign of terror.” He called everyone out of their homes, and made everyone walk single file and stand in a line. All men were stripped naked so that Taylor’s men could search for the marks that most of Johnson’s followers bore...When Taylor and his men discovered “defectors,” they would gather all civilians to watch while the person was forced to his knees and shot in the back of the head...Once Taylor had taken control of Bong Mines, civilian men were forced to report daily to “G-2 offices” to receive clearance to go to the market or move freely in town. The clearance consisted of a pass that was meant to show that one was not a threat.113

The INPFL, and notably its leader, demonstrated a capacity to carry out egregious atrocities against both targeted populations and random victims. Like its NPFL forerunner, the INPFL targeted Krahn, Mandingo, government affiliates, and NDPL members. INPFL also attacked homes and accosted people in public.

One statement giver recalled how Prince Johnson and his men came to his house looking for his father, a soldier in Doe’s army:

We lived in Monrovia, Vaitown before the war started in 1990. During the war when Prince Johnson’s INPFL took control of that area we left there with our father and mother. Prince Johnson and boys entered the area and [were] shown to our house by some people who knew we were Krahn and that my father was in the army at the time. Mr. Johnson and his boys entered our yard and started cursing and asking “Where are the Krahn dogs that are living here?”114

When his father came out of hiding, the rebels looted the family’s belongings, set the house on fire, and beat the statement giver’s father for information about his friends and family before shooting him in the head.115 Overall, the situation was so dangerous that it was risky even to look for food because, in the words of the statement-giver, the “INPFL would kill you if they saw you.”116 Attempts to resist INPFL abuses resulted in death or other violence.117

Numerous statements describe the atrocities condoned or committed directly by Prince Johnson.118 Statement givers reported how Prince Johnson stood by and watched his fighters commit atrocities. For example, following the Doe assassination, a Krahn woman reported that she sought to flee
Monrovia on an ECOMOG ship. Prince Johnson and his men boarded the ship where the refugees were waiting. Prince Johnson reportedly sat in a chair on top of a table, playing a guitar and singing, while his soldiers randomly killed people. The statement giver said she overheard Prince Johnson state, “Take them to the base. Feel free, this is Liberia, anyone can be your president and the next morning you will be executed.”

Other statement givers related how Prince Johnson played a direct role in perpetrating violations, either by carrying out the atrocities himself or ordering his fighters to do so. A statement giver described how INPFL rebels beat his father so severely he could no longer speak, at which point Prince Johnson took out a pistol, shot his father in the head, and left. One statement giver described how in July 1990 Prince Johnson sought revenge against his father for winning a lawsuit against him:

Prince Johnson and his men came to our house and asked us out. He told my father that his time was finished. He ordered his men to beat my father after he wounded me on my head [and I was] on the ground bleeding. They beat my father to death. That day Prince Johnson was dressed in blue jeans with a red t-shirt--written on it “Freedom Fighter.” After my father was killed, I was ordered to go to the Caldwell base with them.

The INPFL often arrested and detained people at Caldwell, where they would be tortured, sometimes to the point of death. Statement givers described the atrocities that took place at the INPFL base, including “manhandling of people; severe torture; summary executions.” One Krahn statement giver was forcibly conscripted and taken to Caldwell base where he was trained to fight. The statement giver described the conditions and treatment he experienced at Caldwell:

We were arrested by the INPFL and taken on their base. I personally was tortured because of tribal affiliation. I was kept in prison for about 6 days without food. I only survived on mere rain water. While in the process of

Persons detained due to reasons associated with the armed conflict shall “to the same extent as the local civilian population, be provided with food and drinking water and be afforded safeguards as regards health and hygiene and protection against the rigours of the climate and the dangers of the armed conflict...” Art. 5(1)(b), Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts.
having us executed, Prince Johnson gave the order to have us released.\textsuperscript{125}

While the INPFL targeted specific groups, it also demonstrated the same greed, lack of discipline, and depravity that characterized other armed factions. In addition to taking revenge, INPFL rebels often extorted money from the civilian population. One statement giver described how the INPFL in 1990 demanded money from his family, who were prosperous and worked for the government:

On that fateful cool morning, Prince Johnson and his INPFL attacked our home. At dawn, [they] ordered our entire family out in single file: father, mother, brothers and sister. They ordered my father to surrender his financial assets, but he told them that he had no money with [him that] instant. They (rebels about 8 in number) pushed him six feet and shot [him] in the head and chest. My mother ran on top of his body. She was shot from the back twice. [W]hen my brother and sister ran to their bodies, they too were shot at close range.\textsuperscript{126}

\textbf{Combatants are prohibited, at all times and places, from committing “violence to life and person, in particular murder of all kinds” against persons not taking part in the hostilities. Art. 3(1)(a), Convention (IV) relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War.}

\textbf{FIRST BATTLE FOR MONROVIA: JULY 1990}

By summer 1990, Monrovia remained an area of contention among warring factions. Doe, while still in office, was losing his ability to administer the country and enforce the rule of law.\textsuperscript{127} The INPFL commanded various areas of Monrovia, as well as Bushrod Island.\textsuperscript{128} Taylor controlled large, key parts of Liberia, but Monrovia still remained outside of NPFL control. As different forces sought to acquire or retain control of the capital, intense fighting around Monrovia surged during battles in 1990, 1992, and 1996. These three battles afforded factions not only the opportunity to seize Monrovia, but also the chance for opportunists to plunder and take revenge.\textsuperscript{129}

One statement giver described the atmosphere leading up to the first battle for Monrovia as “tense” because “[t]he rebels were coming; nobody knew when.”\textsuperscript{130} Another statement giver described how, in April 1990, people heard rumors that rebels were approaching Monrovia.\textsuperscript{131} Businesses began to shut down, some government officials fled the country, and food became increasingly scarce.\textsuperscript{132} While there were rumors that the rebels and the AFL were fighting in nearby neighborhoods, there were no confirmations on either of the two radio stations.\textsuperscript{133} Some Liberians who had education and employment at stake took notice of the impending crisis. One statement giver, who was a high school student living with his brother, a physician, described the growing realization that it was necessary to leave:
We didn’t want to leave Monrovia. We hoped that they would just go away, but it soon became obvious that there would be no school and no work in Monrovia. Like everyone else, we decided to leave. It was June 1990.134

In July 1990, the NPFL launched what would be the first of three major battles for Monrovia. Some Liberians simply could not believe the rebels would ever advance to Monrovia and the attack took them by surprise.135 One statement giver, a charcoal seller in the market, described the unexpected alarm and chaos that ensued:

The NPFL war came in 1990. I was at the market and didn’t even know it was coming. People came and started beating people. I hid behind coal. They grabbed M. and killed her right there and then they started looking for me. I went to my house to find my husband but he had been killed. I started running when someone grabbed and beat me. I was wounded in the stomach and fainted in a gutter.136

Numerous statement givers gave eyewitness accounts of atrocities committed by both rebels and government soldiers as they fought for control of the capital. The statements again reveal the multiple forms of violence and the targeting of certain groups and ethnicities. An NDPL youth wing leader summarized an INPFL attack based on NPFL and Mandingo affiliations in Duala:

They (INPFL) started going on a house to house...[W]ithin that instant, my boss lady, M.M., whom I was assistant to, was arrested, tortured, beaten, raped and she was subsequently executed (beheaded). My husband, M.K., a Mandingo by nationality, was a businessman. He was arrested and executed. Realizing that my life was at stake, I decided to run away with my two kids, but I was caught by the rebel. They started to beat me with the gun butt. I was stabbed with the soldier knife in my stomach and lost consciousness. So they thought I was dead, so they left me.137

Another statement giver, whose father worked for President Doe, described how rebels broke into their house in July 1990.138 The men tied the statement giver’s father’s wrists behind his back and told him he was enjoying money from President Doe and always drinking wine with Doe. A fighter threatened to hit the statement giver for crying and then stabbed him in the stomach with a knife and rammed the butt of a gun on his foot.139
The men made my father stare at the sun. The men took me and my father to an unfinished block house. The men continued beating and torturing both of us. They beat us with wire and the butts of their guns. The men told us we had spoiled the country and ate the money. I was on the ground, hurt and crying hard. Another rebel came and said that my father was Doe’s campaign manager and that they needed to kill him. They forced my father to drink urine from a cup and said it was wine. My father tried to spit it out and they continued to beat him by hitting him on the back of his neck with the butts of their guns. Another man hit me because I was crying and I passed out. When I came to, others told me that the men had shot and killed my father…

AFL soldiers were equally ruthless in their attempts to defeat the rebels. The atrocities were often misdirected or senseless and they resulted in the loss of numerous innocent lives. For example, one statement giver described how the AFL shot indiscriminately, opening fire on everyone at the supermarket in July 1990. As the INPFL approached, the AFL retreated, slaughtering many people as it did so. One statement giver summarized how a group of AFL soldiers transporting a wounded man ordered him and his family into the bush:

The entire family (my birth mother, stepfather, sister and me) ran into the bush where we were followed by some of the soldiers that were on the trucks. The wounded man was now being held up by two of the soldiers. A soldier pointed to the wounded man and angrily said to me and my family, “You are responsible for this. We are going to kill all of you.” I was frightened as the man put shot in the rifle and was pointing it at my mother. The bullet went in between my mother and sister and hit a man standing slightly behind and in between the two of them. The man fell and died instantly. As the man reloaded the gun my family and I were frozen in terror. Once he reloaded the gun, he raised it towards me and said, “You, I am going to kill YOU.” I shook as the soldier kept trying to squeeze the trigger but the trigger or something on the gun had become jammed. The soldiers then took all of our food.
The terroristic impact of the killings was magnified by mutilation and other inappropriate treatment of the bodies. One statement giver described finding the bodies of his family after they were murdered by AFL soldiers on July 30, 1990:

My father’s body was terribly mutilated. My mother’s stomach was ripped open. She was eight months pregnant. I was so frightened I couldn’t even touch them. My sister had been shot right in the middle of her head. I was in a terrible state. I couldn’t even look at them closer because I was just in shock. I mean even to see my father was hard. I could just recognize him by his watch which was still on his hand.

One of the most egregious examples of government atrocities was the St. Peter Lutheran Church massacre in July 1990. AFL soldiers and Doe loyalists killed hundreds of people who had sought refuge in the church from the war. One statement giver lost seven family members in the Lutheran Church massacre. According to accounts, the soldiers were deliberate and comprehensive in the executions. As one Liberian stated, “the soldiers were shooting to kill.” A public hearings witness described one survivor’s account of how the entire room was filled with sleeping people when heavy shooting began. The troops came upstairs to the classrooms and opened fire on people. With no time to flee, people lay flat, but the soldiers walked over them and shot them as they lay there. Those who tried to escape were gunned down. One statement giver explained how women in the church tied babies to their backs, and as they fled, the soldier shot their backs, killing them and the babies. The disregard for the church as a safe haven magnified the horror for many civilians. Another statement giver stated, “imagine trying to seek refuge in the church, a house of God, and they opened fire.” Statement givers who witnessed the aftermath of the incident, or knew survivors, confirmed the massacre accounts.

[It was] a horrible sight. There was blood all over the place. People had been killed by bullets in their heads. There was blood all over the walls and the floors. There was a mass grave that was dug. It broke my heart to know Liberians were killing other Liberians.
The few individuals who did survive were saved by chance or by their ethnicity. One person survived only because another body had fallen on top of her, shielding her from the soldiers’ view.\textsuperscript{157} During public hearings, one witness testified how the aforementioned Liberian survived. When the soldiers began shooting, she screamed in Grebo, “Please don’t kill me among these dogs tonight, please don’t kill me.”\textsuperscript{158} When the soldiers heard her, they stopped shooting and asked, “What are you doing among these dogs? We’re going to kill up the whole church and you are among them?”\textsuperscript{159} The witness summarized what happened next:

And so they told her they were going to kill everybody, but because these – the two of them were Grebo, according to her, they wouldn’t kill them. But they had to figure out a way. So she said the Krahn soldiers said they needed to slash her, because they needed to spill blood from everybody that night. That was the rule. So they slash her. And they slash her friend. And she had two kids. And they said, “We’re going to take some bodies and lay them around you. Lie flat, and we’ll put some dead bodies around you so it looks like you [sic] dead and because all through the night there will be inspections to make sure everybody is dead.”\textsuperscript{160}

Like so many other horrific events, the St. Peter Lutheran Church massacre was a trigger that compelled many Liberians to flee the country.\textsuperscript{161}

Statements reveal that combatants from all factions, besides targeting and killing groups, abused their power to loot and to seek revenge during the battle for Monrovia. As in the preceding months, soldiers and rebels demanded food, money, or other goods. One statement giver described how, in early July 1990, he witnessed NPFL soldiers confiscate food and the clothes off of people’s backs.\textsuperscript{162} Another described how rebels dressed as women and wearing weave caps came to his home and ordered him to catch his family’s chickens for them to eat.\textsuperscript{163} The rebels ordered him and his family to leave while they prepared a meal for themselves and took “everything they wanted from the property.”\textsuperscript{164} When victims could not meet fighters’ demands, they were often punished. AFL soldiers asked one statement giver’s father for food and money, then killed him because he could not give them either.\textsuperscript{165} One statement giver summarized an INPFL fighter’s retaliatory treatment of him over his father’s failure to pay him:

\begin{quote}
It was July 1990. It happened in Jimmycar Road, Bushrod Island, Monrovia. It was a Prince Johnson boy [who] identified [himself] as Henry. He was dressed in an INPFL rebel uniform. He first slapped me in [my] mouth with
\end{quote}

\begin{quote}
“It is prohibited to order that there shall be no survivors.” Art. 4(1), Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts (Protocol II) (1977).
\end{quote}
his bare hand and because of that, my three teeth came out from my mouth. He said he committed the act because he worked for my late father, G.A., and that my father did not pay him. He alerted me under Bong Mine Bridge and asked me for my name. I told him my name and he later said, “I work for your dirty father and he did not pay me.” He told me to give him six feet, but I refused to go back so he slapped me on my mouth.166

Families became separated and displaced as civilians were taken away or fled.167 Both males and females were susceptible to being abducted as statements indicate they were taken away to become bush wives, laborers, or combatants. One statement giver summarized the abduction of his sister and aunt by NPFL rebels:

The next day, as we were making our way to the Soul Clinic, we were approached by a group of Charles Taylor’s rebels in trucks, who were looking for “wives.” They proceeded to abduct my sister (15 years old) and my aunt (17 years old). I was horrified and devastated to see them taking my sister and aunt away while I and my parents stood by watching helplessly. I had heard by word of mouth what happened to women and girls that were abducted.168

The statement giver himself ended up being abducted by rebels and forced into manual labor, along with other children, at the Old Soda Factory.169 Another statement giver described how rebels came to their home and killed his father, a government employee.170 His mother, sisters, and brothers fled in different directions, and the statement giver has not seen any family members since that day.171

During their flight from the battle, Liberians were subject to additional abuses.172 One statement giver described his experience during the siege:

During the first week in July 1990, when Taylor attacked Monrovia, my mother, six brothers and I sought to flee to Kakata by way of the Fendell campus. As we were walking, we were detained by Taylor’s militia…[The leaders] directed militia members to beat my older brother. My brother was also subjected to tabay and was killed in front of my eyes. The following day my mother instructed me to proceed without her and to flee with my five younger brothers. As my brothers and I resumed walking, we were again detained by Taylor’s militia. The militia cut one of my young brothers then shot all of them dead -- only I was spared.173

Later, the statement giver learned that his mother had also been killed.174
**Deployment of ECOMOG**

By the time the first battle for Monrovia began, a regional response mechanism to the conflict was already in process. The government’s rapid loss of control, the rising state of anarchy, and faction leaders’ control over certain areas compelled Doe to make an appeal for international assistance in May 1990. In response, the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) created a military intervention force on August 7, 1990, to send to Liberia. Composed of approximately 3,500 troops from Nigeria, Ghana, Guinea, Sierra Leone, and the Gambia, the ECOWAS Monitoring Group (ECOMOG) mission arrived in Monrovia on August 24, 1990. The largest contribution and greatest number of forces came from Nigeria.

Nigeria pursued a dominant role in West Africa on many fronts, including the establishment of ECOWAS and the maintenance of regional order. For example, Nigeria was the largest supporter of the ECOMOG mission to Liberia, contributing nearly $50,000,000 and most of the troop support for the initial deployment of the ECOMOG peacekeeping force. Following the execution of Doe when Ghanaian General Arnold Quainoo was in charge of the mission, ECOMOG adopted the provision that a Nigerian would always hold the position of ECOMOG Field Commander. Nigeria’s influence in Liberia continued to grow even stronger, and by 1994 about 7,000 of the 11,000 ECOMOG troops were Nigerian.

Scholars recognize that Nigeria had several interests in quelling the instability in Liberia. Because it saw the Liberian conflict as a threat to the region’s economic and military stability, Nigeria sought to restore order. It also believed that dissidents from Nigeria and neighboring countries had trained in Libya with Taylor and NPFL forces with the idea that Taylor would support their rebellions in their countries if he succeeded in Liberia. Nigeria’s perception that intervention was necessary was intensified by the rebels’ attacks on the Nigerian embassy in Monrovia, the UN mission, and Nigerian and other ECOWAS citizens, including the August 1990 massacre by the NPFL of hundreds of Nigerian citizens inside the Nigerian embassy.

Finally, Nigeria was motivated to act from a desire to support Doe and to prevent Taylor from succeeding in his rebellion. The president of Nigeria, General Ibrahim Babangida, who had come to power through a military coup in 1985, was a friend and ally of Doe. While Nigeria’s motives for intervening in Liberia are complex, it is also likely that the personal relationship between Gen. Babangida and Doe played a role. Indeed, Doe made his May 1990 appeal for assistance directly to Gen. Babangida (and President Eyadema of Togo) rather than to ECOWAS.

ECOMOG’s primary purpose was to ensure compliance with peace and ceasefire agreements. A gap between ECOMOG’s articulated mandate and the actual needs of the situation soon became apparent. Thus, absent an effective police force and the need for political intervention, ECOMOG, the regional force, became involved in responsibilities beyond peacekeeping. Throughout its seven-year deployment, other responsibilities that ECOMOG assumed included mediation between warring factions, helping establish the Interim National Government, the implementation of ECOWAS-
brokered peace accords,\textsuperscript{195} disarmament, safeguarding aid supplies, sheltering troops,\textsuperscript{196} helping supervise the 1997 elections,\textsuperscript{197} and promoting security by helping to rebuild Liberia’s military, police, and security forces.\textsuperscript{198}

The regional forces successfully repelled the NPFL invasion.\textsuperscript{199} Nevertheless, political undercurrents and inadequate planning, logistics, and lack of equipment hindered ECOMOG’s initial efficacy.\textsuperscript{200} Preexisting regional political tensions led to division among ECOWAS members.\textsuperscript{201} With the exception of Guinea, the Francophone nations (led by Côte d’Ivoire and Burkina Faso) supported Taylor and objected to the intervention; the Anglophone countries, including Nigeria, Sierra Leone, and Ghana, supported the intervention.\textsuperscript{202} Logistical factors, including inadequate force levels, the lack of a Monrovia-based ECOWAS official to facilitate political negotiations, and inconsistent interpretations of the mandate, reduced ECOMOG’s effectiveness.\textsuperscript{203} Finally, many sources point to concerns about ECOMOG’s conduct and neutrality. There were reports of widespread looting by ECOMOG soldiers, alleged sales of weaponry by Nigerians to armed groups, and concerns about Nigeria’s support for the Liberia Peace Council (LPC).\textsuperscript{204} Its deficiencies were quickly evidenced by its failure to prevent the assassination of President Doe by INPFL forces less than two weeks after its arrival.

Many statement givers, however, described how ECOMOG saved their lives, prevented further human rights abuses, or helped them escape Liberia.\textsuperscript{205} Statements also attributed the presence or imminent arrival of ECOMOG forces to lives saved. In numerous cases, statement givers reported how rebel fighters would stop terrorizing them and disperse upon hearing about the impending arrival of ECOMOG troops. One statement giver’s description was typical:

Through the intervention of the Almighty God, some ECOMOG soldiers of the multinational peacekeeping force came from the Vai Town area and rescued us when the rebels fled from my area. [The rebels] left us when they got to know that some ECOMOG soldiers were coming to our rescue.\textsuperscript{206}

In this way, the presence of ECOMOG played an important role in preventing rebels from committing further human rights violations and humanitarian crimes. Statement givers described how they would call or alert ECOMOG to attacks, prompting them to respond.\textsuperscript{207} In addition, ECOMOG frequently provided safe haven at their base for Liberians. Statement givers reported staying on the ECOMOG base for periods of a few days up to two weeks.\textsuperscript{208}

In addition to playing an enforcement role, ECOMOG at times assisted with the health and other basic needs of Liberians. Statement givers reported how ECOMOG soldiers often carried them to the hospital\textsuperscript{209} or to their base for medical treatment.\textsuperscript{210} ECOMOG soldiers gave civilians food,\textsuperscript{211} sometimes in exchange for work.\textsuperscript{212} ECOMOG also sent ex-combatants to St. Mary’s Catholic School in Duala, Liberia to be reintegrated.\textsuperscript{213}
Early on, Taylor viewed the ECOMOG intervention as a threat to his objectives and as an adversary.\textsuperscript{214} In August 1990, prior to ECOMOG’s deployment, Taylor criticized the peacekeeping force, which he considered an act of aggression. He proclaimed he would “fight to the last man,” stating, “I’ve given orders to open fire on any strangers setting foot on our territory.”\textsuperscript{215} NPFL-controlled radio frequently broadcast anti-ECOMOG messages.\textsuperscript{216} The NPFL intended to weaken the political resolve of ECOMOG’s member states so that Nigerian and Ghanaian citizens would force their governments to withdraw.\textsuperscript{217}

Although it was intended to be a neutral peacekeeping force, ECOMOG soon assumed a more offensive role.\textsuperscript{218} As early as October of 1990,\textsuperscript{219} violence erupted between ECOMOG and the NPFL as ECOMOG successfully pushed the NPFL out of Monrovia.\textsuperscript{220} Violence escalated between the two groups when the NPFL launched “Operation Octopus” in 1992.\textsuperscript{221}

Another consequence of Taylor’s hostility toward ECOMOG was that foreign nationals became the target of human rights abuses.\textsuperscript{223} The NPFL targeted citizens of ECOWAS countries in retaliation for ECOMOG’s role in the conflict. Specifically, the NPFL adopted a policy of targeting Nigerian, Ghanaian, and other ECOWAS civilians in retribution for the deployment of ECOMOG.\textsuperscript{224} Referring to the ECOMOG force, Taylor reportedly stated that, “for every Liberian that’s killed I’m going to make sure some other nationals get killed too.”\textsuperscript{225} In a widely reported massacre of foreigners, the NPFL killed two hundred ECOWAS nationals in 1990.\textsuperscript{226}

There was a big Jeep with Charles Taylor's soldiers, causing cars to stop. A woman was with her husband and their children on the road. My daughter and I were at the creek, brushing our teeth and washing. A soldier got down from the Jeep, stopped the woman and her husband, and asked where...
the woman was from. She replied that she was from Ghana and lived in Monrovia. The soldiers took her husband, took off his shirt, tied his hands behind his back. The lady ran to the house for her passport to prove she was from Ghana and came back with it. The soldiers told her, “Look at your husband and say goodbye. You’ll never see him again.” They took him to a little place nearby and shot the man three times…

Later, the statement giver recognized one of the soldiers as her former student. When she asked him what was happening, he told her that ECOMOG had reached Monrovia and that “we’re here to kill all the foreigners.” The statement giver then understood why they killed the man from Ghana.

Another statement giver said he escaped from NPFL forces to their preoccupation with targeting Ghanaian citizens:

> Because the ECOMOG troops in Monrovia were from the sub-region, the NPFL was arresting people from the countries that had supplied soldiers. There were two Ghanaian teachers in the town. The NPFL rebels caught them and while they were interrogating them, I was able to sneak away into the bush. I heard two shots as they killed the Ghanaians.

The NPFL adopted other strategies to punish citizens of ECOWAS countries. For example, the NPFL restricted movement for ECOWAS citizens through and out of NPFL territory. Arrest and detention were another means of punishing these citizens. A Human Rights Watch report described large scale-detention facilities in NPFL territory established to hold captured ECOWAS nationals of both military and civilian background. Nigerian journalists claimed that they were hostage targets.

Persons who “find themselves, in case of a conflict or occupation, in the hands of a Party to the conflict or Occupying Power of which they are not nationals” are entitled to protection under Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions. Art. 4, Convention (IV) relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War.

* “Nationals of a State which is not bound by the Convention are not protected by it. Nationals of a neutral State who find themselves in the territory of a belligerent State, and nationals of a co-belligerent State, shall not be regarded as protected persons while the State of which they are nationals has normal diplomatic representation in the State in whose hands they are.” Id.
Despite the ECOMOG presence in Monrovia, statements reveal that the INPFL continued to 
perpetrate violations, including forced labor. One statement giver described how the INPFL attempted 
to force her to prepare food for them:

> From time to time, I was harassed by them always to cook for them. At that 
time there was widespread insecurity. Based on that fear for me to continue 
to cook for rebels, I decided not to cook for them anymore. That action 
made them vexed. As a result, three of the rebels flogged me in the morning 
of September 5, 1990, just before President Doe was captured on September 
9, 1990.238

**States Parties are to “recognize the right to work, which includes the right of everyone to the 
opportunity to gain his living by work which he freely chooses or accepts...” Art. 6(1), International 
Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.**

### Execution of President Samuel K. Doe

In addition to the targeting of its nationals, ECOMOG encountered other early challenges. On 
September 9, 1990, Prince Johnson and INPFL troops captured Samuel Doe at a meeting brokered 
by ECOMOG.239 The rebels assassinated a number of Doe’s supporters and tortured Doe to death, 
videotaping the event and distributing copies throughout Monrovia.240 The videotape, which depicts 
Prince Johnson drinking beer while fighters cut off Doe’s ears, became widely available in Liberia and 
elsewhere.241 Doe is seen pleading to be spared before he is killed.242 A statement giver recalled that 
that day:

> I saw a convoy with Samuel Doe in it, wearing a grey suit and in an open-
topped car. As I was on the street, watching the convoy cross the bridge, 
I remember thinking that if Doe crossed the bridge, he would be killed 
by Prince Johnson...I heard shooting. The shooting lasted for 30 minutes. 
Then it was very quiet. The next day, as we left, we heard the BBC was 
reporting that the Liberian President was captured by a Liberian faction 
and was wounded. I decided it would be too dangerous to leave, and I felt 
trapped...The next 72 hours were worse. Doe was tortured, with his elbows 
tied together behind his back...They captured, tortured, mutilated, and 
murdered Doe on camera.243

Statement givers described heightened ECOMOG restrictions on the press immediately following 
Doe’s murder. One statement giver who reported for the *Torchlight* newspaper recounted how 
ECOMOG took journalists to see Doe’s body, but refused to allow publication of photographs of
Doe’s body for political reasons. Another statement giver described how ECOMOG forced the *Daily Observer* to burn all newspapers that contained pictures relating to Doe’s death.

These conditions spawned what would be a series of broken accords throughout the next several years. The period between 1990 and 1992 was described as an “uneasy truce” as peace talks interspersed with fighting took place. In November 1990, ECOWAS attempted to broker peace talks between Taylor and Doe loyalists, and established an interim government to lead Liberia. Amos Sawyer was appointed head of the Interim Government of National Unity (IGNU). Monrovia reverted to a relative degree of calm. One statement giver described:

While Sawyer was in control, people were able to move more freely around Monrovia. Prior to Sawyer’s control, few people could leave the greater Monrovia area because Prince Johnson had divided it.

The negotiated ceasefire was fragile, however, with bouts of sporadic fighting. Within days, the INPFL violated the peace agreement by launching an attack against the AFL. Fighting between the NPFL and other factions continued in Greater Liberia. Finally, the emergence of new rebel groups threatened the precarious situation.

**“Operation Octopus”: October 1992**

On October 15, 1992, NPFL forces launched their second effort to seize Monrovia from ECOMOG forces. On the day after bombings began, one statement giver recalled her school principal announcing that everyone would leave school early that day. She recalled thinking, “Wait a minute, when you said that in 1990, we didn’t come back for a long time.”

The NPFL’s attack, named “Operation Octopus,” was illustrative of the widespread violence by multiple factions. One statement giver recounted:

They called it Operation Octopus because it had so many arms, and there were [soldiers/fighters] everywhere, even in the swamps. There were launches [bombings] all the time. They would see people coming out everywhere with blood on their faces.

Operation Octopus lasted approximately one month. Although it was brief, a statement giver classified it as one of the deadliest conflicts: “very short, but more crazy than the previous outbreaks.” According to another statement giver, Taylor’s mission was to “engulf Monrovia and kill everyone that moved in order to capture Monrovia.” By the end of the operation, more than 200,000 people were displaced and approximately 3,000 had been killed. A statement giver summarized the chaos...
and intensity during the operation:

We ran to the main street, more than five thousand people on the run again. It was very sad because the rebels were mixed up with civilians, some women were walking naked, some people lost their kids. The rebels were in the midst of the people – some of them had guns in mattresses tied up on their heads.260

Statements describe the difficulties of trying to survive amidst the hardship and the warfare. Monrovians experienced severe food and money shortages during Operation Octopus. The operation had the economic impact of devaluing the Liberian currency. One statement giver estimated that the Liberian currency depreciated 85 percent overnight, thus diminishing the means of already impoverished people.261 Another statement giver summarized the difficult food situation:

[Everyone “hustled.” You had to sell the food you hustled in order to get more. Rice was like gold dust, money was nothing. We ate rice and beans and small clams from the river that we would boil and suck. We would also eat sugarcane. The custom was to drop the sugarcane after the juice was gone, but then little children would come along and pick it up and continue to suck on it...Food was so scarce that, if people were behind you and saw that your jaw was moving, they would pick up whatever you dropped. We also ate palm butter from the trees but this was difficult because you had to smash the kernel and mash it by hand.262

“...The States Parties to the present Covenant, recognizing the fundamental right of everyone to be free from hunger, shall take, individually and through international co-operation, the measures, including specific programmes, which are needed...” Art. 11(2), International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1976).

Many statements reported fatalities that occurred as a result of crossfire and bombings. One statement giver living on Bushrod Island stated that stray bullets killed at least ten people he knew.263 Another statement giver recalled that the bullet spray was so intense that his family hid in the bathroom for hours one night.264 At that time, Prince Johnson also began launching bombs.265 A statement giver described how his pregnant sister-in-law was hit on the head with a mortar round in Logantown; “she disintegrated and died of the injuries she sustained.”266

Statement givers described the strategies they learned to survive Operation Octopus. For example, one statement giver described how people learned to run toward the source of rocket fire after a launch because running away from the rocket’s source might place them directly in its landing path.267 Also, he recounted how they prayed for night fighting, because bullets were more visible in the dark.268
Another statement giver stated that she had to finish her cooking quickly because she cooked outside where there were always stray bullets. The atmosphere was tense. A statement giver summarized how drastically their lifestyles changed during Operation Octopus:

Every night we heard bombing. We stayed at our home. We didn’t sleep. We wore jeans and sneakers with undershirts and t-shirts on our heads. We had to be ready to leave at any time. We were always in the hallway and had to stay away from windows.

Operation Octopus lacked the same level of ethnic targeting of the first battle for Monrovia. Both AFL and rebel forces demonstrated more interest in looting and killing regardless of ethnicity. Like the first battle for Monrovia, Operation Octopus afforded opportunities to exploit power. Because NPFL rebels were unpaid they were encouraged to plunder and were promised compensation in the form of loot or even a house. One Liberian statement giver described how a child soldier put his name on their home, stating that Taylor promised any property they captured would be for them. In other cases, the rebels destroyed property. A statement giver described how rebels burned down her home, possessions, and other neighboring houses.

Rebels also used Operation Octopus as a means to exact revenge. Accounts describe how, once again, rebels raped, tortured, and arrested civilians as revenge for past grievances. One statement giver described how she had previously refused to have sex with an NPFL fighter; during Operation Octopus, he came to her house, stabbed her in the knee, and raped her. Another statement giver recounted how an NPFL leader sought revenge because of his expulsion from the statement giver’s organization:

He was expelled from his post and disappeared from the township only to resurface in NPFL uniform and well armed. So he was able to get even at me during ‘Octopus.’ I was taken at their command post at Kakata and put into a cell. I was tortured and beaten on several occasions.

A few statement givers discussed the role of ECOMOG during Operation Octopus. Given the exigencies of the situation, ECOMOG began fighting the NPFL alongside the AFL and the United Liberation Movement for Democracy (ULIMO). While some people blamed ECOMOG for the atrocities, one statement giver conceded that they may have been killing in defense and to protect Monrovia. Another statement giver described the failure of ECOMOG troops to protect civilians during their retreat from New Georgia Estate. After a missile landed and rebels began to approach, Liberians ran toward the ECOMOG troops for protection. Instead of defending the civilians, the Sierra Leonean commander stated that his troops were not going to die for Liberians, and ECOMOG retreated.
A public hearing witness related ECOMOG’s use of the media during Operation Octopus. He testified that, as a reporter for the Liberia Broadcasting System, he was compelled to run propaganda for ECOMOG and the IGNU.\(^{282}\) When the NPFL attacked ECOMOG throughout Monrovia, the Liberia Broadcasting System did not broadcast where Taylor’s forces were in the suburbs. Instead, to his regret, Liberia Broadcasting System told people to go home:

“Go back. Mr. Taylor is just giving propaganda. There is no war somewhere. Go back to your various homes. Everything is calm.” When people went there, and they were slaughtered, they were killed by Mr. Taylor during the interim government.\(^ {283}\)

Ultimately, ECOMOG, with the assistance of the AFL and ULIMO, was able to successfully repel the offensive and maintain control of Monrovia.\(^ {284}\) In addition, ECOMOG successfully wrested Kakata and the port of Buchanan from NPFL control,\(^ {285}\) but at the expense of more civilian lives. One statement giver described the ECOMOG bombings around the port:

Air bombers came and started to bomb the port in Buchanan. There were also gunships at sea throwing shells at the city. The gunships and bombs were destroying homes. The gunships didn’t seem to have targets, but the planes may have had targets at or near the port.\(^ {286}\)

INPFL involvement in the conflict began to decline, and the faction eventually disbanded in October 1992.\(^ {287}\) ECOMOG troops entered Taylor-controlled areas in April, but soon pulled out because of fighting between ULIMO and the NPFL.\(^ {288}\) The NPFL, however, held 580 ECOMOG troops hostage through September 1992.\(^ {289}\) Although former U.S. President Jimmy Carter negotiated their release, the incident heightened the hostility between ECOMOG and NPFL.\(^ {290}\)

At this time, the United Nations stepped up its efforts to stem the warfare, including implementation of a weapons embargo on all factions and the establishment of the U.N. Observer Mission in Liberia.\(^ {291}\) Stockpiles of arms had already grown so large in Greater Liberia, where their movement could not be easily regulated, that the embargo was imposed too late to be truly effective.\(^ {292}\) Also, Liberia’s borders remained porous and open to weapons flow because ECOMOG had been unable to position its troops along key points.\(^ {293}\) Thus, fighting and atrocities continued, including an AFL massacre of 547 displaced persons at Harbel, west-central Liberia, in June 1993.\(^ {294}\)
RISE OF OTHER Factions

The years between 1991 and 1994 saw the emergence of several new armed factions. In late May 1991, a group of former Doe loyalists and AFL officers formed a new rebel group to resist Taylor’s forces.295 ULIMO received support from Guinea and Sierra Leone, as well as initial support from ECOMOG.296 A former ULIMO combatant described the formation of ULIMO:

The objective of the new group was to fight against Taylor while avoiding the killing of innocent civilians. I joined ULIMO and helped recruit fighters and solicit donations for the organization among the Liberian refugee population in Guinea. I and other militants mobilized boys, girls, men, older people to fight - although, with respect to the recruitment of youngsters… Alhaji [Kromah, the ULIMO leader] couldn’t accept children under 18. ULIMO first entered Liberia from Sierra Leone and its early military actions against Taylor’s forces were successful. There was fighting in Gbarnga, Taylor’s headquarters. Initially, ULIMO combatants were armed only with cutlasses, knives. They soon acquired weapons by “arresting” NPFL forces and seizing their guns.297

From 1993 to 1994, a number of events resulted in the rise of other new factions. On July 25, 1993, the AFL, NPFL, and ULIMO signed the Cotonou Agreement.306 Although the Cotonou Agreement failed within months, it established a new government that included NPFL and ULIMO representatives.307

ULIMO was formed in Sierra Leone in 1991, with the support of Nigeria, Sierra Leone, and Guinea.298 Sierra Leone and Guinea supported Liberian refugees, primarily Krahn soldiers, in instigating a counteroffensive against the NPFL; this support was a response to the 1991 Revolutionary United Front (RUF) invasion of Sierra Leone which came from bases in NPFL-controlled Liberia.299 With both countries providing a base, training, weapons, support, and trade in diamonds and other resources, ULIMO emerged as a major adversary to Taylor.300

Later, in 1994, ULIMO divided into two factions, one of which was the Mandingo based ULIMO-K headed by Alhaji Kromah.301 The government of Guinea was closely involved in the factional struggle for the control of ULIMO because of ULIMO’s access to diamonds in Sierra Leone. During 1996-97, ULIMO-K surrendered 800,000 rounds of ammunition, more than all of the other factions put together.302 Additionally, Kromah claimed to have a large contingent of Mandingo fighters in Guinea.303 ULIMO-K, like other military factions, looted the areas it controlled. After looting, ULIMO-K traded directly across the northern border with Guinean officers, who were often members of ECOMOG.304 In one example of looting and then trading in Guinea, a car was taken and disassembled in Liberia, reassembled in Guinea, and then sold.305
Consequently, the accord not only elevated the NPFL into the political sphere, but also generated new factions, such as the Liberia Peace Council (LPC), that were not bound to the peace negotiations.308

In 1993, the LPC was launched.309 An 800-person-strong, Krahn-dominated group headed by former PRC minister George Boley, the LPC was composed of members of the AFL and Krahn fighters of ULIMO.310 By October 1993, the LPC had begun fighting the NPFL,311 wresting from it control over key areas in the southeast.312 In March 1994, ULIMO split into two factions.313 The ULIMO-K faction was led by Alhaji Kromah, allied with Guinea, and dominated by members of the Mandingo ethnic group.314 The ULIMO-J faction was led by Roosevelt Johnson, allied with Sierra Leone, and dominated by members of the Krahn ethnic group.315 In turn, the Lofa Defense Force (LDF), supported by Taylor, fought ULIMO-K in Lofa County.316 All sides, including the new factions, reportedly continued to carry out human rights violations.

There were still reports of ECOMOG soldiers committing human rights violations against civilians. One statement giver reported how family members and friends told stories about how ECOMOG troops violated people, raped girls, demanded bribes, and generally “took advantage of their power to treat people poorly.”317 Sources have described the looting by ECOMOG forces, which was so widespread it led to the joke that ECOMOG stood for “Every Car or Moving Object Gone.”318 Another statement giver summarized how a Ghanaian ECOMOG soldier commanded her to pick up a wrapper dropped by a child she was accompanying:

When I did not immediately pick it up, he slapped me very hard in the ear. When I spoke back to him, he pointed his gun at my face. People began running in the street. I pushed the soldier and told him to kill me, but he took his gun and left. I could still hear a high pitched noise in my ear as a result of the slap.319

There were reports of ECOMOG carrying out summary executions. One statement giver stated that the Senegalese contingent of ECOMOG near Paynesville, a Monrovian suburb, would inspect youths for rebel marks; if they found youth bearing such marks, the soldiers arrested and killed them.320 These tactics compelled the statement giver to join the NPFL out of fear for his life.321 Another statement giver witnessed the shooting of a man who took money and food. ECOMOG soldiers first shot him in the foot, felling him, then shot him again.322 In addition, statement givers stated they witnessed ECOMOG troops humiliating, torturing, and killing rebels whom they had captured and taken into custody.

“No sentence shall be passed and no penalty shall be executed on a person found guilty of an offence except pursuant to a conviction pronounced by a court offering the essential guarantees of independence and impartiality.” Art. 6(2), Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts.
Statements and secondary sources revealed accounts of ULIMO violations, including summary executions, torture, arrests, looting, the use of child soldiers, and restrictions on freedom of movement.323 One statement giver reported that, “the ULIMO war in 1993 was the toughest.”324 She fled for two months in the bush without food, surviving on strained mashed bush yams.325 Another statement giver described how ULIMO-J fighters broke into his family’s store, stole their money, killed his father, and raped his sister.326

Furthermore, ULIMO fighters often crossed over into Guinea where Liberians had sought refuge.327 One statement giver described how ULIMO soldiers crossed over and grabbed people from the Guinean camp where she stayed in 1993.328 She began disguising herself as an old woman to avoid abduction.329 Another statement giver recounted how ULIMO-K fighters brought pictures depicting their tortured captives to a school in Nzerekore, Guinea.330

Crossing over into other countries to attack refugees, a violation of international law, was not a practice exclusive to ULIMO. Many statement givers described how other rebel groups had crossed the border and attacked them in refugee camps in Côte d’Ivoire,331 Guinea,332 Sierra Leone,333 or even as far away as Ghana.334 Those who sought refuge in Côte d’Ivoire were particularly vulnerable. A Krahn statement giver recounted that his home in Côte d’Ivoire was close enough to Liberia that he could see NPFL rebels taunting him from the other side of the border. In this case, the rebels tried to coax refugees to come back to Liberia.335 One of the men acquiesced and crossed over to Liberia, whereupon NPFL rebels tied him up and then defecated and urinated on him before burning him alive and dumping his body into the river.336 The statement giver also described how NPFL rebels crossed over and attacked a group of women, who went to a nearby farm to plant food.337 He explained:

While the women were planting, Charles Taylor’s rebels crossed the river and slaughtered them. Twenty seven women were killed in all. Their bodies were dismembered. The rebels laid out the body parts in long lines and sold the body parts to other rebels...the rebels sold the hands for 25 cents, the arms for 50 cents and the heads for $2.00.338

Several statement givers described accounts of cannibalism by ULIMO and other factions.339 One statement giver overheard ULIMO girls describing how a girl was forced to cook human intestines
and heart. She became so traumatized, “she would just sit there and laugh all day.” One woman described how NPFL rebels killed a young boy, cut out his heart, and forced people to eat it. At times, the victims were still alive when their flesh was eaten. A woman said NPFL rebels had cut her hand and drank her blood. Another statement giver said she had seen a rebel commander chew off someone’s thumb. One man provided an explanation for the origins and reasons behind eating human flesh:

The Liberian saying is that when you eat the heart of your enemy, their power transcends to you. These people could extract the heart in a split second, while the victim was still alive, better than surgeons, and eat it raw. It has to be the Burkinabes who trained them to do it, because this was not the Liberian way before the war. None of this ever happened before Charles Taylor’s War of 1990.

The LPC was also responsible for the commission of severe abuses. Statements describe LPC atrocities, including rape, murder, forced recruitment, use of child soldiers, use of drugs, torture, abductions of bush wives, forced labor, and looting. As with other armed groups, the civilian population became the battleground for the LPC. One former LPC combatant recounted:

The LPC said to kill anyone they found because they were paying a debt. When I fought for LPC, the orders were to leave no one standing when we captured a village, so we killed everyone in the village.

Protected persons include those not taking a direct part in or those who are no longer taking part in hostilities. Art. 4(1), Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts.

Statements bear out accounts of the LPC’s widespread attacks on civilians, particularly in 1994 and in the southeast. A student living in Harper in 1994 recounted how LPC rebels attacked her school. They beat the teachers, poured gasoline around the school, and threatened to burn it down “from first grade progressing to ninth grade” if the teachers refused to send the students outside. When the teachers let the students out they “ran for their lives,” but LPC rebels killed many people, including the principal.

Like other warring groups, the LPC reportedly perpetrated their attacks using multiple forms of violence. One statement giver described how LPC rebels attacked him and his family in Zwedru in 1994:
One day some men from the LPC came to my house looking for my father, who worked for the government. The men, none of whom were wearing uniforms or carrying guns, raped my mother and sister, murdered my father in front of me, and hurt everyone in my family. Then they took me and my mother away from our house, and made us tote loads for them for two hours until we reached Fishtown. On the road to Fishtown, the rebels who were carrying me slashed a knife into my leg when I asked where they were taking me. To this day, I have a permanent scar on my leg, and cannot work for money because I can’t stand for longer than five minutes.\textsuperscript{357}

Fighting, albeit at a lower intensity, continued. By August 1994, the Washington Post reported, “[w]hile organized armed confrontation has been relatively light, there is no end in sight to the war...”\textsuperscript{358}

**Akosombo Agreement: 1994**

On September 12, 1994, three warring factions, the NPFL, ULIMO-K, and AFL, signed the Akosombo Agreement. The accord granted Taylor considerable dispensations, including a seat on the five-person Council of State,\textsuperscript{359} much to the displeasure of the Nigerian government.\textsuperscript{360} Subsequently, ECOMOG attacked Gbarnga in September 1994. Civilians in Gbarnga found themselves in the midst of fighting and bombings. One statement giver summarized his experience and the long-term injuries he and his child sustained:

During the fighting in Gbarnga in 1994, a rocket exploded in our house resulting in the near shattering of my left leg and the dislocation of my hip bone. I was in a coma when I was taken to the hospital in Abidjan. I stayed at Cocody Hospital in Abidjan for a year and a half. My left leg is presently shorter than my right leg, and I used to walk with crutches occasionally because they cause my left side to pain when I use them for a whole day from place to place. My daughter was also hit the same day. Some of the rocket’s particles penetrated her chest; she underwent surgery to have the particles removed. Today, she continues to live in pain, and drinks quite often in a day due to perpetual heart burn. I too live in perpetual pain.\textsuperscript{361}

The attack, although unsuccessful, nevertheless demonstrated that Nigeria would not passively accept Taylor’s ascent to power.\textsuperscript{362}

Various factors, including politico-historical roots, ethnic divisions, and ECOMOG’s maneuvering among the armed groups, continued to splinter the factions.\textsuperscript{363} Alliances between ECOMOG and other factions proved unstable, leading to severances and attacks between factions and the peacekeeping
For example, ECOMOG and the Nimba Redemption Council (NRC) had discussed plans to launch a second front against the NPFL in early 1993. Upon deployment, the NRC’s spokesman issued a statement announcing the formation of the NRC and calling for support for ECOMOG’s deployment. To his surprise, however, ECOMOG forces were not deployed alongside the NRC combatants as planned:

It turned out that the ECOMOG soldiers were not in place. It turned out that Nigeria had borne too heavy of burden, and we found [this out] after the fact that Nigeria had borne a heavy burden for the ECOMOG effort in Liberia. And in order for them to deploy the troops, they would have to move a lot of conventional weapons, tanks, artillery from Conakry to Sinkor, which is I believe is about six or seven hundred miles and the road was largely unpaved...So, they made a decision against it, that they were not prepared to commit those resources...I got to find out from the ECOMOG commander...that they didn’t attempt to deploy anymore...they are taking the option off the table. And at that point I was really furious and a lot of us were furious because this was not what we signed onto. What we signed onto was to see that the peacekeepers would be deployed with our help to minimize the resistance from the Taylor fighters.

As a result, Taylor had forewarning of the attack, which enabled him to engage in combat and kill eight of the NRC fighters while they waited for the arrival of their ECOMOG allies.

In 1994, division within the NPFL arose, leading Tom Woewiyu, Sam Dokie, and Lavelli Supuwood to form the NPFL-Central Revolutionary Council. By 1995, there were seven different fighting factions, including the NPFL, NPFL-CRC, LDF, ULIMO-K, ULIMO-J, AFL, and LPC.

The exclusion of the newer, non-signatory factions from the Akosombo negotiations remained contentious. Thus, the factions convened to sign two more agreements on December 21, 1994. The Accra Agreement enabled, inter alia, accession to the Akosombo Agreement, a ceasefire to begin midnight of December 28, 1994, and the establishment of a new Council of State composed of five
representatives selected by the various fighting factions.  

During this time, hostilities remained widespread throughout 80 percent of the country, but at a lower level of intensity. A U.N. report noted the segmenting of fighting into different territories according to the warring factions. For example, the NPFL and LPC coalition forces primarily battled in the eastern, northern, and to some extent, southern, parts; ULIMO-J and ULIMO-K fought in the western areas; ULIMO-K and the NPFL fought in Lofa County; and ULIMO-J and the NPFL fought in Bong and Margibi Counties. Most fighting consisted of skirmishes, looting, and attacks on infrastructure.

Statements detail atrocities by factions in spite of the relatively lower levels of fighting. A statement giver living in Maryland County described how in 1994 she returned home from the market to find her parents, brothers, and sisters gone. Rebels later captured and raped her. Another man living in Grand Gedeh County described how LPC rebels tried to forcibly recruit him in August 1994; when he refused, the rebels tortured him, stripped him naked, and jailed him for a day. Another statement giver living in Maryland County recounted how she and three other women ran into an ambush of Doe loyalists. One of the rebels raped her; when he finished he called over one of his friends to rape her as well.

Statements also contained reports of attacks against medical personnel and patients during the war. Two incidents in 1994 recounted by statement givers are demonstrative of these humanitarian law violations. A nurse recounted how Taylor’s rebels attacked the Bong County hospital and forced the nurses to render services to them. When ULIMO-J forces attacked in 1994, Taylor’s rebels reportedly returned to the hospital and “started killing nurses indiscriminately.”

One woman living in Gbarnga described how Taylor’s forces attempted to take over the hospital in 1994:

Not long after they arrived at the hospital, Taylor’s troops attempted to take over the building, but the hospital personnel were able to hold them off. A few hours later, Taylor’s troops came back with reinforcement, and made everyone in the hospital come outside with their hands over their heads in a line. They treated invalids, the elderly, and hospital staff with equally brutal force. Everyone sat on the ground for hours, while Taylor’s men shot
randomly at patients. I saw the body of my former doctor and my former reverend lying on the ground.387

**Abuja I: August 1995 and the April 6, 1996 War**

On August 19, 1995, warring parties reached the thirteenth peace agreement, Abuja I.388 The agreement provided for a new Council of State, disarmament, and elections.389 On September 1, 1995, a collective transitional government known as the Liberian National Transitional Government II (LNTG II), headed by Taylor, Alhaji Kromah, George Boley, and three civilian representatives, came into power.390 By bringing factional opponents into the political realm, the Abuja agreement ushered in political strategy as another means to gain control of the country.391 Notably, ULIMO-J was excluded from direct participation in the Abuja peace negotiations.392 Although the agreement included a provision granting ULIMO-J’s Roosevelt Johnson a head position at the Ministry of Rural Development,393 the exclusion of his faction from negotiations and the implicit failure to recognize ULIMO-J as a major force within the conflict likely served to alienate Johnson and ULIMO-J from the final terms of the Abuja accord. The outcome proved detrimental to both Roosevelt Johnson and Kromah, as ULIMO-J’s alienation and the new political framework stratagem converged against both leaders’ limited political backgrounds and mass appeal.394 As a result, it essentially preserved the potential for future conflict.

Under the terms of Abuja I, a ceasefire commenced on August 26, 1995.395 Once again, the brokered peace remained tenuous. In December 1995, ULIMO-J forces violated the ceasefire agreement, attacking ECOMOG forces in Gbarma and Tubmanburg396 and repeatedly using civilians as human shields.397 During the fighting, ULIMO-J forces killed 16 Nigerian ECOMOG peacekeepers, wounded 78 others, and seized the peacekeepers’ arms.398

At this time, Taylor was setting the stage for a third battle in Monrovia by contriving a rift between ULIMO-J and ECOMOG.399 Using a murder reportedly committed by Roosevelt Johnson’s forces, Taylor urged the government to respond.400 The Council of State attempted to arrest Roosevelt Johnson, compelling him to seek refuge in AFL military barracks.401 Roosevelt Johnson insisted that the police represented henchmen of Charles Taylor’s NPFL and would not afford him just treatment.402 The confrontation launched the third battle for Monrovia on April 6, 1996.403 ULIMO-J, LPC, and AFL forces fought against NPFL and ULIMO-K.404 Within the first few days, an estimated 2,000 people were killed,405 with total fatalities rising to 3,000 people.406 One statement giver recalled that the death toll was so high that human bones began to pile up in the streets.407 Another statement giver witnessed combatants throwing bodies into the river.408

Statements attribute responsibility to all sides for human rights violations during the third battle for Monrovia. Liberians described seeing both rebel and military forces burning homes, attacking
families, killing, and seeking revenge. Tactics used previously throughout the war, such as forced cannibalism and tabay, were again employed to terrorize the population. One statement giver described how NPFL rebels sought to inflict this punishment on him and his family on April 6:

They cut my grandfather’s throat and cut his heart. We were all forced to drink his blood. They cut off my grandfather’s head and were going to make us eat it. I cried, “No, no.”

Another statement giver, who was aligned with Doe loyalists, described how NPFL rebels arrested him, told him that he would not live to tell the story, and tabayed him. Numerous statement givers witnessed or were subjected to tabay. One statement giver described this treatment as being so painful and harmful that a tabay victim “would only have about an hour to live.”

“As discussed above, the third battle for Monrovia featured atrocities and targeting similar to those in the preceding hostilities. This time, however, statement accounts revealed the role of the new factions in perpetrating these and other abuses. One statement giver described the role of the LPC in assaulting, abducting, and sexually abusing him because he refused to give them water. He summarized his experience:

In April 1996, during the third battle for Monrovia, I was near the Governor’s mansion selling cold water... Fighting broke out. Several men in a truck passed and demanded that I give them water. When I refused, they slapped me, hit me, and beat me. When I still refused, they grabbed me and put me in a pickup truck. I still have a scar on my nose from where I was hit with a rifle butt by the men in the truck. The men, who were with the LPC, took me to a dark house where I was required to entertain them. They would beat me and use me as their “playboy.” I spent several months in the house with the LPC and they threatened to make me fight for them.”

In the chaos, the fighters used extortions to obtain goods for themselves. One statement giver described how ULIMO-J rebels demanded money from her father. When he could not provide it,
they punished her father. She recounted:

In that night, they captured my father and killed him. My father was a businessman. The ULIMO-J rebels came late in the night and called out, “Come out. Where’s the money?” My father responded, “I don’t have money. Just [enough] to sustain myself.” They beat him with rocks and guns. They tore him apart. Then they came for me and said I should take care of my father.418

The chaos and violence drove Liberians to seek refuge.419 One statement giver described the massive flight that ensued:

Militants burned my house in Monrovia, and at gunpoint, my family left. The whole neighborhood fled. Once they see one family run, the next family followed.420

Several Krahn hid in the abandoned military barracks in Monrovia.421 When the rebels were unable to take the barracks,422 Taylor ordered his forces to fire rockets at the barracks, which killed many people.423

Many statement givers reported seeking haven at the U.S. Embassy’s Greystone Compound.424 Some Liberians were able to stay in a dormitory in the compound, but others were relegated to an outside area with limited shelter and no sanitation facilities.425 Both areas presented difficulties because of health problems and hazardous conditions. One statement giver who lived in the outside area stated her baby became ill due to heavy rains, while she contracted a high fever and lost significant weight because of sickness.426 People developed diarrhea because the toilet was located near their water source, which was heavily contaminated.427 Another statement giver described how they had to pour the drinking water out slowly to avoid consuming maggots.428 Food was also scarce at the compound, forcing people to venture out of the compound to buy food at the rebel lines.429 While the compound provided relative safety from the rebels, it was not completely secure from gunfire.430 One statement giver reported that NPFL rebels would shoot haphazardly over the fence to try to kill people.431

“[M]easures shall be taken, if necessary, and whenever possible with the consent of their parents or persons who by law or custom are primarily responsible for their care, to remove children temporarily from the area in which hostilities are taking place to a safer area within the country and ensure that they are accompanied by persons responsible for their safety and well-being.” Art. 4(3)(e), Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts (Protocol II) (1977).
Statements revealed that ECO-MOG played a significant role in helping people escape the war in Liberia as well as in dispersing fighting forces and therefore stopping further human rights abuses. For example, many statement givers described how ECOMOG evacuated them by ship or truck to other countries. Most statement givers did not indicate they provided any payment for such transfer, although at least one statement giver reported she had paid $50 “to be stowed away on an ECOMOG boat to Ghana.” ECOMOG facilitated Liberians’ passage to cities and neighboring countries, such as Ghana, Sierra Leone, Côte d’Ivoire, and Guinea. One statement giver summarized:

There was no way out. There were no more flights. No way to go out by car. ECOWAS soldiers provided the only safety we had.

Yet another ceasefire agreement commenced on April 19, 1996. When Taylor and Kromah returned to their government positions, however, Roosevelt Johnson’s forces resumed fighting a mere ten days later. By mid-May 1996, the United Nations reported that the fighting appeared to be at a stalemate. While the factions controlled different parts of Monrovia, no single group appeared able to assume full control.

**Abuja II: August 1996**

On August 17, 1996, another ECOWAS-brokered peace agreement was signed in Abuja, Nigeria with a revised timetable that called for elections to be held in 1997. The agreement extended the timetable for disarmament and elections beyond the original timetable of Abuja I and added the threat of sanctions, including a bar against running for elected office and prosecution for war crimes, against anyone violating the agreement. Under the terms of Abuja II, ECOMOG began disarming the fighting factions in November 1996 with assistance from the United Nations. A new ceasefire was declared on August 20, 1996, and elections were set for May 30, 1997, although ECOMOG later postponed the elections until July 19, 1997, to allow time for preparation. On September 3, 1996, Ruth Perry, a former Liberian senator, assumed her position as Chairman of the reformed Council of State.

Although the promise of elections brought some hope for change, the NPFL still engaged in intimidation of voters leading up to the elections. A statement giver summarized how rebels punished her entire family for a speech her mother gave in 1996, when her mother asserted that anyone involved in the war should not be voted for as President: All citizens have the right to “vote and to be elected at genuine periodic elections which shall be by universal and equal suffrage and shall be held by secret ballot, guaranteeing the free expression of the will of the electors.” Art. 25(b), *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966)*.
Because of that speech, our neighbors brought his [rebel] friends to our house. When they got there, they asked all of us to come outside to them. When we came out of the house, they asked for our mother O. and we said they were gone on the farm. Then my sister, S.B., along with my grandmother, K., and my uncle, they all died on the spot. My sister S. and I were raped, beaten by them and they tied us on the tree. They went away, but before going, they told us that they will be back, and then they left us.445

The first Liberian civil war was both violent and tragic. Some commentators regard it as one of Africa’s bloodiest civil wars.446 In addition to killing hundreds of thousands of people and displacing more than one million, the war rendered countless civilians victims of other egregious human rights abuses. Numerous actors, ranging from combatants who committed violations, leaders who condoned, facilitated or ordered the atrocities, and onlookers who failed to intervene, bear responsibility for this suffering. One statement giver’s opinion about Charles Taylor is illustrative of the destruction and pain these actors perpetrated on Liberia. To this statement giver:

[Taylor] was a man of greed to whom nothing mattered other than his flamboyant lifestyle. His destruction of Liberia’s youth…has put a curse on Liberia, and I wish I could scratch out that part of the country’s history.447
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