1	
2	
3	TRUTH AND RECONCILIATION COMMISSION OF LIBERIA
4	
5	DIASPORA PROJECT
6	
7	
8	PUBLIC HEARINGS HAMLINE UNIVERSITY
9	June 10, 2008
10	St. Paul, Minnesota
11	TESTIMONY OF
12	DR. AUGUSTINE KONNEH
13	
14	
15	TRC Commissioners:
16	Chairman Jerome Verdier Vice Chairperson Dede Dolopei Oumu Syllah
17	Sheikh Kafumba Konneh
18	Pearl Brown Bull Rev. Gerald Coleman John H.T. Stewart
19	Massa Washington
20	Count Day out and Tayle's Wayner
21	Court Reporter: Jackie Young Minnesota Association of Verbatim
22	Reporters & Captioners P.O. Box 375
23	Marshall, Minnesota 56258 U.S.A.
24	www.mavrc.org
25	

PROCEEDINGS

(The following proceedings were had and made of record, commencing at approximately 11:34 a.m.)

MR. SIRLEAF: Please be seated, ladies and gentlemen.

Shall we take our seats, please.

Thank you. Thank you.

At this time we will ask all of us to please turn off your cell phones and then check them in, I'm told.

Well, those who have just entered, I
don't -- all of those, you need to be checked at the
front. The bags need to be checked and inspected,
please. We are strictly enforcing that. We beg your
indulgence.

Turn the cell phones off as well since you will be here with us this morning. And others who have just arrived, you need to check the bags, check in, and follow all the rules that have been established. Thank you very much.

At this time we will begin the hearing very momentarily, but before our first witness enters, I'd like to make a quick comment about the reason why we are beginning with an expert witness.

Our first witness is a -- is a historian.

He's a professor of the history, who is a Liberian as well. He is coming to talk to us about -- give us overview of the Liberian history because, as the chairman said, part of the Commission's job is to help us review our history, clarify our history.

And so in order to do that, we have to understand where we've come from and how we've gotten where we've gotten to. And to do that, to create our context and -- and establish that background before our witnesses come, we have invited an expert historian to help us understand that.

And so, just so that we all are not confused, we are not beginning with a victim. The first witness is -- is an expert witness.

I will now turn over to the TRC hearing officer, who will begin the formal hearing proceedings.

Thank you all for your patience and your cooperation.

MR. TEAYAH: Okay. Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. My name is John Teayah, and this afternoon we now have the opportunity to call on the first witness, Augustine Konneh, to come forward to make his presentation.

CHAIR VERDIER: Shall we kindly rise for the administration of the oath.

AUGUSTINE KONNEH,

2.2

2.4

being first duly sworn to tell the truth, testified as follows:

MR. TEAYAH: Please be seated.

CHAIRMAN JEROME VERDIER: Mr. Witness, I want to say welcome to the TROC public hearings. On behalf of the Commission, I extend you thanks and appreciation for volunteering to come and assist this process of truth seeking, peace building, and reconciliation.

We are the Commission, various Liberians who come forward to assist in this process because we believe it is part of the patriotic duty of every Liberian to support a process that will definitely lead to a lasting peace in our country.

And most Liberians are volunteering to come forward just to make their contribution, and today you have come to delve a little bit into our history and perhaps trace some of the root causes of our conflict to the past. We appreciate that, and this is your opportunity.

As you have surmised, this is a national process for the Commissioners to conduct a hearing in the U.S., but there's a library back home in Liberia, it's going to be archived, transcribed, and all of those will be left for prosperity. So we thank you

1 very much.

I will use this time to introduce the Commissioners here present to you. Following that, you will introduce yourself, and then you can make your presentation. You may choose to stand or remain seated in making your presentation. We have the podium available.

On my left, on the extreme, is Commissioner Sheikh Kafumba Konneh, Pearl Brown Bull, Gerald Coleman, Dede Dolopei, Massa Washington, John Stewart, Oumu Syllah. I'm Jerome Verdier.

THE WITNESS: I'm Augustine Konneh. I happen to know this gentleman that's sitting here. He happens to be my uncle so.

My parents are originally from Cape Mount, and we were born in Nimba and then my parents live in -- in Lofa. And we had the opportunity to live there for some time and then go to school across the border in Sierra Leone, and then came to the United States in -- since 1987.

I'm also one of those who is a victim of the war because my -- both of my parents, and he knows, my mom and dad were killed during the war, and I was able to get some of my siblings here with me in the United States.

So it's a pleasure and also a very solemn moment for -- for me to be given the opportunity by The Advocates for Human Rights, the Truth and Reconciliation Commission, to share my own thoughts with regards to giving a brief history in understanding the Liberian civil war.

So I'm glad to do that, Mr. Commissioner.

CHAIRMAN JEROME VERDIER: Thank you very

much. You may proceed with your presentation.

THE WITNESS: If it's okay, I will stand.

You know, professors always like to stand.

I just want to acknowledge the fact that the Commissioner, the chair of the -- the Truth and Reconciliation Commission and Ahmed Sirleaf did say some things here at the beginning that are very important for us to understand with regards to coming to understand our history, our diversity, and it's from that perspective that I'm looking at this brief history of Liberia so that we can understand the root causes of the Liberian civil war.

For those of you who don't know where

Liberia is, I will say Liberia is on -- if you look at

the African map, it's in the Atlantic southern part of

West Africa. It has boundaries on the west with Sierra

Leone, in the north with Guinea, and on the east,

southeast, with the Ivory Coast.

The population, one might say at the time, was about 3.2; others will say 3.5 million. In terms of size, for Americans, we can say that it's comparable to the state of Tennessee. It's slightly larger than the state of Ohio.

Liberia do have rich natural and mineral resources. We do have timber, we do have rubber, we do have iron ore, we do have gold, we do have diamond, and other -- other kind of resources besides.

Liberia is a member of ECOWAS, the Economic Community of West African States. It's also a member of the Mano River Union. In fact, it's the founding member. Two countries formed the Mano River Union in 1973 - Sierra Leone and Liberia. And then in 1980, Guinea joined the Mano River Union.

And so Liberia is in a very unique situation. In fact, it's so unique that many of our neighboring African countries see us as the 51st state of the United States. Why? Because of this long allied history that we do share with the United States historically, financially, for over 100 years. So you can see the uniqueness of Liberia.

Where do one start to understand the history of Liberia? Well, Liberians are quick to say that

their country is the oldest African republic and the only country within the continent that was not colonized during the colonial period. Rather, it was a country established for repatriated people of African descent that were returning from the United States that we call Settlers, that we call Americo-Liberians, that we also call Congo.

You know, Ethiopia made the same claim that they were also not under colony rule but, of course, we do know that they were for some time under the Italian rule.

So then the question becomes, what was in Liberia before the Settlers got there. Before the arrival of the Settlers in the 19th Century, there were 16 ethnic groups that inhabited what became known as Liberia.

There's not enough time for me to go through all of these 16 ethnic groups, but it's important for us to know that they spoke a mosaic of languages and dialects. But although these people were mingling and -- and making this movement to the area where they find themself, they also lived in a very distinct geographical areas in the region where they find themself.

We do not know when these people came to

this region. We, as historians, are still trying to -to revisit and -- and -- and reconstruct the earliest
movement of these people into this area. But there's
one thing that we do believe and that we do know: That
these earliest people that were moving in this region
that became known as Liberia were coming from the north
as a part of the southward migration, especially when
we saw the great Sudanic states of Mali and Songhay
decline and collapsing. These people were making the
movement to a better place looking for land.

2.2

2.4

So we can say that by the 15th and 16th Century, we saw this movement. And where did they settle? They settled along the coastal areas. Why is the coastal area important? Well, the coastal area is important for sociocultural interaction. These people mingled, interacted with one another.

But not only that this coastal area was important for sociocultural interaction, it was this area that these people converged for trade purposes. But it was also in this coastal area that the Monrovian trade was intensive, and we had all kinds of slave ports being established in this area.

But there's one more thing that is important. It was in this coastal area that the Settlers first established a Liberian government as

they pressed inland. So this coastal area becomes extremely important.

2.4

So it's important for us to understand that prior to 1800, that there were ethnic groups that established themself in this region that became known as Liberia.

Then came the 1800s. We saw the settlement of the Settlers. When did this begin? I will claim that the establishment, the settlement in Liberia began in 1821, with the protection of the American Government and the private sponsorship of the American Colonization Society.

These Settlers forged American morals and strategies as they established themself along the coast. One of those strategies, outright conquest, the purchase of land that were not subject for sale, the conversion of treaties of friendship into owners, deeds of ownership, a Settler elite monopolized power and resources.

The majority of the Indigenous peoples were controlled by force. But not only that they were controlled by force, their leaders, their chiefs, were corrupted into a -- a system of indirect rule.

That's why I make the claim that even though Liberia was not colonized like other African countries,

but the historical and political conjecture that emerged from the settlement, particularly the relationship between the returnees and the Indigenous peoples, produced familiar colonial conditions.

2.2

2.4

What were those conditions? Exploitation, inequality, monopolization of power and resources.

These conditions, I would suggest, led to deep contradictions, conflicts, and suspicion between the Indigenous peoples and the Settlers. Thus, I will say that the nature of the settlement made the demonization of the state into authoritarianism almost inevitable.

How can I prove this? We have to look at the roots of authoritarianism in Liberia. What were the roots of authoritarianism? The roots of authoritarianism were started by the American Colonization Society governing the settlements in Liberia.

For example, the organization introduced several repressive measures. What were those measures? The Nuisance Law, which made it illegal for any Liberian to organize or participate in demonstration against the colonial government. Second was the Association Law, which banned Liberians from becoming members of any organization that was not sanctioned by the colonial government.

So here what we saw, those who violated this rule were subject to fines, were subject to flogging, they were subject to imprisonment, they were subject to having their property confiscated. So in the initial stage, what we saw, that there was a suppression of the Indigenous peoples.

2.2

2.4

But following this colonial rule, each
Settler independent state formalized aspects of these,
what I call authoritarianism in Liberia. They denied
citizenship to the Indigenous peoples. They violated
the human rights of the citizens by forcing them to
work in government projects without compensation. They
levied taxes against the Indigenous people without
allowing them representation. So that from the
J.J. Roberts administration, following to the latter
administration of the Settlers that we saw, maintain a
fine chain of this authoritarianism.

Let me give you an example of this kind of authoritarianism by the Settler government. Let's take the Arthur Barclay administration from 1904 to 1943 (sic). I will claim that the Arthur Barclay administration laid the basis for the institutionalization of authoritarianism in Liberia.

How? Well, under Arthur Barclay, we first saw the Frontier Force. Under this arrangement, this

military organization was responsible for policing the interior, but not only policing the interior, to make sure that there is tax payment compliance; but not only to make sure that there was tax payment compliance, to make sure the chiefs are accountable to Arthur Barclay.

Thus, what we saw under Arthur Barclay's regime, that the Frontier Force became the principal instrument of coercion used against the Indigenous people as they were suppressed with regards to their rights.

And then we saw the -- the famous regime of William V. S. Tubman, which many people believe was the golden age of Liberia. I will submit that even though we saw foreign investments, it was an attempt to be able to bring the Indigenous people and the Settlers together economically and politically.

I will suggest that during the William V. S. Tubman regime, we saw an extension of authoritarianism. How? By developing a network, a security network which engaged in spying, intimidating, and imprisoning Indigenous peoples who were opposing the Settler government.

But it was under William Tubman that we saw that the True Whig Party became institutionalized as a single party. All of those workers for the government

were forced to become members of the party, and their salaries, portions of their salaries were taken and given for the maintenance and the functioning of the party. But not only that, we also find that that government used state resources to provide benefits and salaries for party officials.

And then we saw the William R. Tolbert regime. When Tolbert came into power in 1971, he vacillated between liberalization and authoritarianism. But it became very clear on which side Tolbert was, because we saw on April 12, 1979, when Liberians were out there demonstrating as a result of the exorbitant high price of rice, Liberia's staple food, President Tolbert ordered armed troops to shoot and kill Liberians that were out there.

And thus, later on, those who were part of that movement and that organization, like MOJA and PAL, those leaders were all arrested. And even student government leaders were also arrested and thrown into jail, and some of them were not even given any kind of justice.

So I will claim that given this repressive political climate, the proximate conditions for triggering violent regime change was ripened; and as a result of this, we saw the coup of 1980.

What brought us to the coup of 1980?

There are many other factors, but in 1980, April 19 -
April 14, 1980, seventeen enlisted men under the

auspices of a sergeant known as Samuel Kanyon Doe,

overthrew the government of Tolbert. Fourteen of his

top officials were executed.

2.2

2.4

Subsequently we saw put in place a government of civilian -- made up of civilian and military officials known as the People's Redemption Council came to power.

How, then, can we evaluate this regime.

Unfortunately, Doe decided to continue the same pattern of administration that he inherited. In fact, I will suggest that he expanded the dragnets. Here he was ruling by decrees. There was one decree that prohibited workers from striking. There was another law that made it impossible for schools and other institution of higher learning from having student governments. But not only that, it was the famous Decree 88A, which allowed for anyone that was suspected of criticizing Doe's government to be arrested and sent to jail with no justice.

So what we saw during Doe's regime, that Doe manipulated ethnicity. Not only manipulated ethnicity, also manipulated corruption, mediocrity, ineptitude,

and even used violence to govern.

2.2

2.4

And as a result of this, people became despondent. In fact, it is very important for us to understand that Doe used ethnicity as a principal weapon to govern. He selected members who were loyal to him of his ethic group, the Krahn people, and placed them in position. Edward Taye was commissioner of immigration; George Boley, minister of education and others. Harry Nayou was also minister of state for presidential affairs.

And he did the same thing with regards to the military. He ethnicized the military. Those who were loyal to him were put in place so that they can cut down on attempt coups, plots, and demonstrations. In fact, many of them did. They pillaged, they raped, and they arrested and tortured those who opposed the Doe government.

But let me say here that in spite of this multitude of offenses that Doe committed while he was president, the proverbial straw that broke the camel's back was the stealing of the 1985 election.

What happened in the 1985 election? Doe first disregarded the approved constitution. He banned those parties that he realized will create a certain kind of opposition to him during the election. Parties

like United People's Party that was led by the late
Baccus Matthews; the Liberian People's Party that was
led by Amos Sawyer; the Liberian Action Party that was
led by Jackson F. Doe, no relationship to Samuel Doe.

And then, while denying the rights for these people to run, in the guise of fairness and democracy, he allowed those lesser parties that would not pose any problems to him to contest in the election; like LUP, the Liberian Unification Party; the Unity Party of Kesselly.

And while the election was going on and he realized that he wanted to monopolize power, he formed his own political party, the National Democratic Party of Liberia. And while the election took place, and he realized that even at that he was losing power, while the counting of the votes was going on, he ordered that the counting stop and all ballots should be taken to Monrovia. There in Monrovia he hand-picked people that would count the ballots. And what happened? At the end of the day, he became the winner, 51 percent; and Doe, Jackson F. Doe, was the one that came second.

This really created a problem for the Liberian people. So even the little credibility that Doe had at this point in time vanished completely.

As a result of this fraudulent election,

there was an attempt, an attempted coup led by
Thomas Quiwonkpa, who originally is from Nimba, who had
helped Doe come to power. Quiwonkpa was killed,
murdered, and reprisals later on, began to surface as a
result of him coming from Nimba. Targets of people
from Man, who are Mano and Gio from Nimba, continued;
and as a result of this, there were more and more cries
by the Liberian people so that there can be a change.
And at the end of the day, the Liberian people became
despondent. And as a result of this, we saw that we
were also coming to a new change from a violent
perspective.

2.2

But what is important for us also to understand, that under Doe's rule, the economy was also in shambles. In fact, Doe and his party, we learn, that really embezzled 300 million dollars. He, himself, as an individual, was able to amass about 200 million dollars, which he deposited in banks in London and other places, DCCI, and all those other places. And as a result of this, there was no turning back with regards to the changes that were about to take place in Liberia.

But just to put this within a context, I must suggest that the American Colonization Society authoritarianism that was laid and was expanded and

sustained by the various leaders, starting from J.J. Roberts on to Doe, I would claim, laid the foundation for the civil war that we experienced in Liberia.

2.2

2.4

Why do I say that? For long period of time, the Liberian people were looking for someone to change their political and economic situation. For long period of time, they were -- suffered politically and economically. And so when Doe came to power, his failure of transforming this unjust provincial, political, and economic situation created hopelessness, created fear, created despair.

And so when Charles Taylor came and said that I am the one that have come to save you, the Liberian people who were dissatisfied gave support to Charles Taylor. This brought us to the civil war.

December 24, 1989, Charles Taylor, who was first in the United States, we were told that he was in jail and how he escaped and then left and then went to Ivory Coast. That's another story, we're not going to go into that. But he was in Ivory Coast and he founded his National Patriotic Front of Liberia and entered the country through Ivory Coast. And here, the man started with very few people. We find out that he had this great number of people that joined his movement.

But what happened with Charles Taylor?

Charles Taylor was able to amass this kind of support and was able to gain control of many of the -- the counties within Liberia. In fact, we're told that 80 percent of the -- the states within Liberia were under the control of Charles Taylor, with the exception of Monrovia.

The question then becomes, why was he not able to get into Monrovia. Now I can say we thank God that he didn't get to Monrovia. Because Charles Taylor became greedy. He became selfish. He became very flamboyant. At the beginning, he wanted to just take control of Doe and get him out of power, but he was not able to do that.

His second in command, Prince Johnson,
was able to kill Doe, and many people said to
Charles Taylor, the enemy now is gone, why can't we
move the country forward. Of course, Charles Taylor
says, I want to be the president of Liberia.

Well, he did find out that, in the long run, he was not going to be the president of Liberia through the gun. No matter what happened, later on he had to succumb in going through the democratic process.

But what we see here, that a new government, an interim government, was put in place in 19 -- in --

it was put in place immediately after the death of Doe in 1990.

This war, which is the first seven year war that we experienced, claimed many lives, destroyed property. In fact, I will say it really brutalized the consciousness and spirituality of the Liberian people and even pushed Liberia to the brink of collapse.

This war created and developed a culture of violence and intolerance, a culture of corruption, and a culture of -- of undemocratic attitude. In this war, nurtured children to kill as soldiers. And, in fact, what we saw, the very fragile infrastructure of the country were all destroyed. Not even this institution of higher learning were spared.

Amidst this chaos, we saw national and international organizations trying to restore peace in Liberia. ECOWAS played a very important role. After the death of Doe in 1990, ECOWAS deployed a military wing known as ECOMOG in Liberia. And then there were other efforts to try to restore peace to Liberia and there were all kinds of agreements that were signed. There are many of you who are here who participated in this effort.

But the -- the most prudent effort was the one in July 1996, when ECOWAS's leaders went to Abuja,

the capital of Nigeria, and were able to come up with a very rigorous timetable with regards to restoring peace in Liberia, with regards to encampment, with regards to disarmament, and with regards to repatriation.

Even though all of these things were not done completely, we saw July 19, 1997, there was an election that was held in Liberia, and that election unfortunately brought in Charles Taylor as president of Liberia. We were told that he had 75 percent of the vote, and only -- the next person that was in line, Ellen Johnson-Sirleaf, had only ten percent.

I think the question many people have asked, why did Liberians vote for Charles Taylor. Well, I will give two reasons. There are more reasons, but I will just share two.

One, Charles Taylor went to the Liberian people and said, in Liberian English, listen, I spoil it, let me fix it. That means, I destroyed the country, give me the opportunity to rebuild it. So that during the time of election, Liberians were singing the song, he kill my pa, he kill my ma, I will still vote for him.

But there was a second reason. Liberians were voting for peace. Liberians were voting for prosperity. Liberians were voting for democracy,

hoping that at the end there will be democracy, but Liberians were also voting for reconciliation.

2.4

Did this reconciliation occur?

Unfortunately, this did not happen. In fact, under the regime of Charles Taylor, what we saw, that the human rights violations were in a gloom and doom. There are many of you that understand what I meant by that.

Journalists were killed, even allies of Charles Taylor were killed. The case of Samuel Dokie is very prominent with regards to those who suffered there.

His son, Chucky, was in charge of the anti-terrorist unit that went around hunting people and killing people.

But not only that there was this doom and gloom human rights, the economy was also -- remained very terrible. Dismal, if you would like. Inflation was high, unemployment was high. There was destruction of the infrastructure. And while this was going on, Charles Taylor and his cronies were living an exaggerated lifestyle.

As a result of this misrule, that we saw an imposition, a resumption of civil war in Liberia. Two groups opposed Charles Taylor: LURD, Liberians United for Reconciliation and Democracy; MODEL, the Movement for Democracy in Liberia.

כ

Sekou Conneh, no relationship to

Augustine Konneh standing here, was the leader of -- of

LURD, and Tia Sangla was also the leader of MODEL. For

a very long time we did not know who was in charge.

But it became very apparent that LURD was supported by

some members from Guinea and they were holding on to

the northern part of the country. And MODEL was

supported by Ivory Coast and was holding on to the

southeastern part of the country.

Here we saw an intensive fighting to control Liberia. And this was the time, and I must mention this, that Liberians looked up to the United States as a savior. And I can assure you that if you talk to many Liberians, that they were very much disappointed with the way the United States dealt with the issue of war that was going on at this time. For example, while Liberians were being killed, what the United States did was to send planes and get their own citizens out of the country and left Liberians to die.

Second, George Bush send the Coast Guard,
the -- the Coast Guard right there by the seashores
of -- of Monrovia, and they did not come out to save
Liberian life. So as a result, many Liberians do have
a really distaste feeling about the United States.

But I must also say that as a result of the

intensive intervention of other international organizations, including the African Union, and including, like the latter part, because George Bush was always calling for the resignation of Charles Taylor, but nothing was done.

But because of this intervention by the African Union in August, we saw August 11, this is a date that many Liberians have come to see as a liberation date for Liberians. August 11, 2003, Charles Taylor resigned and departed to Nigeria in exile. But before he left, some of you would have seen that in the -- the New York Times article where he stood there and said, I shall be back. Well, yes, Charles Taylor did come back, but he was in handcuffs. And now, of course, we do know where he is - in The Hague.

So then the question becomes after this, that there was an effort to put a transitional government that was headed by Gyude Bryant. And this transitional government for two years maintained some form of peace. And the United Nations mission in Liberia that came in that same year, in October of 2003, continued to help out with regards to the security of the Liberian nation.

And then, of course, in 2005, we saw an

election. First there was an election that was inconclusive, and then on November 8, 2005, we saw the runoff, which brought in the first female president of Africa and also of Liberia, President Ellen Johnson-Sirleaf.

And so today we enjoy some form of peace.

But what does this mean for Liberians? I will submit,
in the aftermath of war, peace is not simply -- Let me
say this again very, very emphatically. In the
aftermath of war, peace is not simply the absence of
violence. Those who have experienced violence and war
must also experience healing.

Why? To remain unhealed is to remain traumatized. And this healing that I'm talking about is not only healing based upon economic and political empowerment, this healing will take place in the relationship between the victims and the victimizers.

So we are very honored that the TRC of Liberia, The Advocates for Human Rights, have began this process in reconciling Liberians, not only at home, but also abroad. It's a process that is welcome, and I call upon all Liberians to rally around this effort. We cannot develop Liberia if we still have people who are not healed from the wounds that they encountered during this 14 and some will say 15 year

1 war in Liberia.

2.4

Once again, I thank you for giving me the opportunity as an expert witness in providing you a brief history and understanding of the Liberian civil war. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.

(Applause.)

CHAIRMAN JEROME VERDIER: I want to thank you, Mr. Witness, Dr. Konneh, for your cursory review of Liberia's history and your attempt to trace the roots of the conflict.

At this time the Commissioners will ask you a couple of questions so that -- opportunity for clarification on a number of things they probably may not have understood or they need further clarification of.

I would just ask you questions which appear in -- in two folds. You mentioned that President Barclay in 1904 institutionalized authoritarianism --

THE WITNESS: Correct.

CHAIRMAN JEROME VERDIER: -- and prior to that you did a general classification of governance at the time prior to Barclay.

I was just wondering, between 1821 and 1904, what was the state of affairs, the relationship

between the governance at the time and the Indigenous?
Was there a government? Were there symptoms of
authoritarianism during that time?

Then secondly, from your presentation, it appears as if for -- for every action, there was a greater reaction, which you obviously have informed us of all of that. Does that justify the war or was the war inevitable?

THE WITNESS: Say that again. Does that justify the war or --

CHAIRMAN JEROME VERDIER: Was the war justified or was it inevitable given that we -- we saw a reaction to situations?

THE WITNESS: Before 1904, what was there. There were symptoms of authoritarianism that were not very clear-cut, and at this time the -- the citizens of -- of -- the Liberians, the -- particularly the Indigenous peoples, were put in what's so-called their place.

What we saw even during the colonial period from 1821, even before independence in 1847, there was these differences with regards to even those who governed.

We need to understand here that the American Colonization Society was led by white

Americans, and there was this disparity between those white Americans and the Settlers themself, and this later on was passed on to even when the states became independent in 1847.

2.2

2.4

Here what we saw, that there was a color line that was created where you have the dark-skinned Settlers and the light-skinned settlers. In fact, if you go back and you look at our history, you find out that it was only one time, E.J. Roye, that we have a dark-skinned president, but he didn't stay for too long. He was kicked out very quickly because the line of demarcation was put in place.

And so later on, this continue with regards to how the Settler government saw the Indigenous peoples. There was this ladder that I said was created; that the light-skinned Settlers were on top, who were the leaders, and then the dark-skinned, they were under them. But guess who were at the way bottom of that ladder? The Indigenous people.

So that tells us that there was a system that was put in place at times of suppression and oppression. So that was the mechanism that we saw in the times of authoritarianism.

Was the war justified? Let me say here that a philosopher once said that violence is the

language of the unheard. Does that make war justifiable? No. It made war inevitable.

If you read Frantz Fanon's work, "The Wretched of the Earth," violence begot violence. And so what we saw in the case of Liberia, because of this violence that was perpetuated against the Indigenous people, it became inevitable that war was the answer. So the unheard decided, we have to let our voice be heard; and as a result of that, we saw war coming to our country.

Was it justifiable? Maybe not. Was this the means to an end? Maybe for many people that is what it was. But war, as we see, has no good ending. All, whether we like it or not, have suffered under this war.

And today it's an opportunity for us to tell our story, to make a statement so that never again in the history of Liberia that even when we disagree, which has been in the past, when we disagree with each other, we have a way of sitting in the parlour boards and discuss and be able to come to some kind of resolution not to go to war. But a climate of dissension was created by politicians and ethnicity was used as a basis for that kind of war.

So war, no, is not justifiable, but

1 it's -- it was inevitable.

2.2

2.4

CHAIRMAN JEROME VERDIER: Thank you.

Sheikh.

COMMISSIONER SHEIKH KAFUMBA KONNEH:

Dr. Konneh, we want to thank you very much for your presentation.

This is one of the reasons why the Truth and Reconciliation Commission was put in place - to get the views, the perceptions of Liberians from all walks of life so as to enable us to make a comprehensive report and recommendations that will help Liberia never ever to return to a violent approach.

You have expressed your view about how
Liberia was governed, and to everything there's two
sides of it. You have spelled out what constitute bad
governance in the past, but it is said that as you are,
so shall be your leaders.

Can you tell us, what is your view of the -- about the chronic tribalism sectionalism that invaded itself among those claiming to be Indigenous?

Because if you are people who suffered the same consequences, then the question is why your people could not mobilize your intelligence and then approach the situation in unity. What would you say about the differences among the tribal people, the Indigenous

people? You did not make mention of that. Can you tell us, what is your view of that?

2.4

THE WITNESS: Let me approach this question by giving an answer that is very academic. This -this work of Paul Ferraro, where he talks about the ped -- pedagogy of the oppressed. Pedagogy of the oppressed talks about people who had been oppressed, and the tendency of people that have been oppressed is that to see other people and oppress them.

The Americo-Liberians that came to Liberia were oppressed in the United States. How did they bring those same tenets with them to oppress the Indigenous peoples. The Indigenous peoples saw these tenets that were there and realized that the best way to address the issues was to oppress others.

But given the story of Liberia, because the leaders were not national leaders -- And what do I mean by that? That they were taking all of the concentration of the -- the population within Liberia, these groups, or ethic groups, realized that the only way they can address and readdress their grievances and issues were to come together and find protections in their own ethnic groups.

Thus we saw the polarizing of groups, so

that even today, in this country or even in other places, I -- I live in Atlanta, if you call a meeting for the Liberian Association, very few people will come, but call a meeting for the Bassa people, call a meeting for the Mandingo people, call it and you will see that there is some form of loyalty that is being expressed.

Why? Because of the failure of the leadership to pull all of these people together. What is very important in nation building is to be able to pull people together.

Like the Commissioner was saying, the

Chairman of the Commission was saying, for the very

first time, we have a song where we see all of the

members of the ethnic groups participating, singing

their own song; therefore, creating a certain kind of

ownership. But if that song was only in -- in Bassa or

in Mandingo or in Krahn, then it would leave out

sections of the population.

So, in essence, what we are saying is that those ethnic groups who had suffered and experienced violence, in -- instead of using this as a mechanism for reconciliation, in order to protect their own ethnic group, had turned around and implemented violence, inflicted violence on other ethnic groups.

Is that what was in Liberia? You know very well that the Liberia that was not like that. In fact, we find out that these groups were intermingling and intermarrying and -- and mixing with each other. But as a result of this violence, violence begot violence. And, therefore, these ethnic groups now seek protection from their own ethnic group. And that's why we saw ULIMO-K, ULIMO-J. Why? Because these groups now find refuge in their own ethnic group.

2.2

2.4

And politicians are very clever. As you know, they're able to politicize and use ethnicity. People like our own brother, Alhaji Kromah and others, use this to the best of their ability. Why? Because the leadership failed to establish a unified society where all can benefit from.

COMMISSIONER SHEIKH KAFUMBA KONNEH: You also talk about NDPL stealing the results of the elections, but you did not talk about when the former Secretary of State of the United States visited Liberia and consulted LAP. LAP claimed to have won the election except UP -- UP claimed to have won the election. Contacted LUP and LUP also claimed to have won the election.

What do you have to say about this self-claim, self-exchange, this question of

individualism? Was it something proper or was it something that gave strength to NDPL claim?

2.2

THE WITNESS: I think it's very important for us to understand that wherever we see disorganization, there is a tendency for self-proclaimed prophecies. In fact, even in the past election, you know that one of the -- the candidates did claim that the Lord told him -- Wherever he saw the Lord, we don't know, but he did -- he did say that the Lord told him that he would be the president of Liberia. Even after the election, we find out that he still believe that during the inauguration a miracle will occur and he will be inaugurated into office.

Self-proclaimed prophecy. There are many individuals who do not have the nation at heart but only have their own self-interests instead of putting the interests of the nation forward. And so as a result of this, there are many Liberians that believe that the Nation of Liberia cannot go on without them being in a -- a place of position. That Liberia, all -- that they have a monopoly of ideas, and so therefore the Liberian nation owe them titles.

And as a result, we saw that manifesting itself during that election. That each and every party, these lesser parties, I call them, claim to have

won the election.

2.2

2.4

So it was very clear that what Doe did was to provide -- In fact, he gave funds to some of these parties. The Liberian Unification Party, he told -- he told the -- the leader of that party, who was a poor teacher, you are poor teacher, let me just give you some money and then you can run. And he did. Poor teacher, what would you do. Take the money and run. And that's what he did. So he didn't -- he didn't care about what's going to happen, and so were other political parties.

So at the end, it was their own self-interests that they put in place rather than caring about what's going to happen.

So what Doe was able to do was to divide and conquer, and now he's eliminated those strong political parties. These little parties, it was very simple to just destabilize them and then steal the election. And that's how we find ourself into the situation we find ourself.

COMMISSIONER SHEIKH KAFUMBA KONNEH: You also talk about the 1997 election. History tells us that thirteen political parties stood for the presidency. Out of the thirteen, three were military-oriented politicians. Ten were civilian politicians. What does

this project to you?

2.2

2.4

Ten civilians standing for one presidency; in fact, in the immediate -- what should have been called at the time in the immediate post war era. Does it signify unity of people?

THE WITNESS: No, it does not. There are two ways to look at what happened. It shows how greedy people are and selfish that they are, because we understand what happened in terms of those ten political parties.

At the beginning, there was a process in terms of nomination; for example, with the Liberian People's Party, and that even some of our so-called long-time politicians were not nominated and decided that they are the answer, the solution to Liberia, they're going to form their own political party. And so we have an extension of all of these political parties. That's one.

But, second, in the -- it should have not -And -- and I say this, and with all respect to the
Carter Center, I was one of the advisers to the
Carter Center on the Liberian issues. Later on I
was -- I believe I was silenced or kicked out or
whatever that is, but then in the long run, I was no
longer called upon.

I don't think in the first place we should have rewarded people who brought violence to the nation to be a part of the democratic process. The Carter Center allowed that to happen, so that those who were rebels, to participate in the war in a way to try to reconcile. I think, to me, as far as I'm concerned, that was not the way to do it. You don't reward people for doing wrong.

And so as a result of that, even when other international organizations called upon the civilians and said to them, listen, this is what is going to happen: If we're going to win an election against Charles Taylor, first, the man has the money, he has all the radio stations, and he has all of the capacity, this is what you do: You only bring one political party to oppose him. All of these political gurus decided that's not the way to go.

And so what happened, we end up with ten political parties. And so all Charles Taylor did was to just bring the bag of rice with his pictures; and Liberians, the politics of the belly, what have you done for me lately, and you've not shown me what you've done for me, but here comes Charles Taylor with a bag of rice and with his picture. He killed my ma, he killed my pa, I will vote for him.

It does not justify unity because even those of our so-called intellectuals could not see the wisdom of unifying and putting forth a unified force so that Charles Taylor could not win the election. They all went into their separate ways. And as a result, we end up with what we ask for: A Charles Taylor who later on became a problem in the Liberian world. That's what happened during that election.

expressed yourself on the governing periods of the pioneers or the Americo-Liberians, as you called them, as well as that of Doe. But history also reveals to us that financial and military assistance that was given to Doe administration by and large outnumbered what was given to the pioneers' government over the century, and that government came to be through a military coup.

What will you say about this support, the cooperation, the collaboration given by America to the Doe government?

THE WITNESS: Well, it was very clear that historically more finances and ammunition were given to the Doe government by the United States.

We need to understand here that it was this fight between the east and west. The Cold War was still on. And, in fact, one of the times that Doe came

to -- What Doe said to the United States government, if you want me to give back the money that I borrowed from you, I'm going to go to Libya and get the money. What happened, Ronald Reagan invited Doe to the United States and said, look, you don't go to -- to -- to the Soviet Union or Libya, you come here and we will continue to legitimize your presidency.

And so what we saw here, just like we saw what happened in South Africa when the United States supported the apartheid regime, because of their thinking of the Cold War and that all the other people were communists and they were going to go against the United States, therefore we will support those that we believe that are on our side.

And this is not only Liberia, but that was the same case in all of Africa. What happened, the United States supported terrorists, now they call them terrorists, but even supported dictators who were not good to their own people and then in the guise of communism, even though these people did not have good governance attitude in them.

And so as a result, the same thing happened in Liberia. Doe was bad, but what will be the alternative as far as the United States was concerned. Yes, all we need to do -- In fact, it was Reagan who

said to Doe, just have other parties to run with you.

Now you've banned these others, just have other

parties. Because what Doe kept saying, these other

people are communists. And that's all he needed to say

to the United States - that the other people are

communists. Yes, they will support you, no matter what

happened.

And this is what -- exactly what we saw the role of the United States. It's beginning now to see and to realize that not everyone is a terrorist, not everyone is a communist; and, in fact, it's in their best interests to begin to support good governance and transparency in parts of the world, including Liberia.

But that's exactly what happened, the United States supported this man because the others were communists.

COMMISSIONER SHEIKH KAFUMBA KONNEH: With the presentations you have made and the answers you have given, yes, you have talked about healing, reconciliation, but what you can now present to us as the general way forward in the case of Liberia and Liberians as well as America, that is considered as the stepfather of Liberia?

THE WITNESS: Well, let me -- let me just say this, that -- And I've said this many other times.

That there is no royal road to reconciliation. There's no royal road. Reconciliation is a process. It's painstaking. There are people who do not want to recount what has happened to them because it's painful to talk about what has happened to you.

It's painful that I would talk to my -- my dad just two days before he was killed. It's very painful to recollect that. It's very painful for me, when I was at the refugee camp in Kenema, to see a woman who was so traumatized because they killed her husband right in front of her, and she was pregnant, that she died in the hospital in Kenema. It's very painful. It's very painful. We all do have stories to tell.

It's very painful when we moved the Liberian people from the Kenema Refugee Camp when Taylor's people were coming there to Waterloo, and three babies died that very night. It's very painful to recall like that.

But as much as painful that it is, it is more painful for us not to say what has happened to us. And I think this forum provides us the opportunity to be able to tell our story, by the same token, so that the Truth and Reconciliation Commission now been given the mandate to be able to select cases so that those

cases can be made, so that we can make an example of those cases so that we cannot make people, who have been part of this process of claiming lives and destroying, to go unpunished so that we can come together as victims and victimizers to understand that we as a people owe accountability to each other.

It hurts me going to Monrovia, and I was there with you when the United -- when all this press were at your house and they were talking about the process, the question of land that was taking place in Gbarnga and other places, and I was furious to see that people who have committed atrocities are now enjoying and moving very freely, but that's why we have this process.

People ask me the question of, how can this person be the senator of so and so and so. It's all about the process. So what I recommend, that we should not falter in this process, that we should continue this process, we should put mechanism in place that will help those who have suffered and even those who have committed suffering on people.

Those mechanisms are going to be based -And this is what I want to say. That any mechanism
that we put in place in times of this healing process
must be rooted in the customs, tradition, and history

of Liberia. If not, it's not going to happen.

You remember for very long time after the first seven year war, you was part of the -- that -- that process, where we were saying to the Charles Taylor government, why not we put a truth and reconciliation process in place. He just denied and neglected that. And I am glad now it has come to fruition, that now we have the opportunity to come and tell our stories.

So we need mechanisms to put in place, and this -- I think the TRC is doing a wonderful job, not going to all of the counties, but also to begin to revisit our history. And I think it's a process where we have to come back and revisit and -- and revise our history so that we can understand where we've come -- we fall short and have made a mistake, so that this process will help us in reconstructing what now we do have in Liberia.

As I spoke when I was in Liberia, I believe it and I know it, that Liberia shall rise again. And now people are writing more books and I have to begin to call those people to give me some royalty because I thought I was the founder of the words "Liberia shall rise again."

COMMISSIONER SHEIKH KAFUMBA KONNEH: Thank

you very much.

2.4

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER PEARL BROWN BULL: Dr. Konneh, I want to say thank you for your presentation of Liberia history in that telling also your story and making recommendations to the TROC.

You also reminded us of the era where rewards were given to those who did wrong to the country. At least we should be reminded of the lessons that we learned from that.

You were called as an expert witness on
Liberian history to give some presentation. An expert
witness is a -- well, treated a little more than an
ordinary witness, and for the public and for us,
could you -- You told us that your parents came from
Cape Mount and you were born in Nimba County and you
came to the United States in 1987.

For me, I need a little more. Your presentation spoke for itself, but since these things are being documented, could you tell me a little more about yourself to convince me to put you in the category of an expert witness on Liberian law (sic).

THE WITNESS: You know, I just -- After schooling, I was just in the streets of Atlanta driving taxis and, you know, and other kind of things. No,

1 I -- I'm just kidding. 2 COMMISSIONER BULL BROWN: I warn you --THE WITNESS: I'm just kidding. 3 4 COMMISSIONER BULL BROWN: Yeah, I know you 5 are. THE WITNESS: No, no. Let me just say --6 7 COMMISSIONER PEARL BROWN BULL: We all had to do all sorts of work when we came to America. 9 THE WITNESS: Let me -- let me -- let me just 10 I grew up in Songhay and -- and Yekepah, say this: 11 Area H, and all those places. My father was a medical 12 doctor there, Foday Konneh, and -- and later on he left 13 and then went to Lofa and established a pharmacy there. 14 And we -- we stayed in -- in Yekepah. And then later 15 on I was sent to one of our uncles that was in 16 Sierra Leone and I went to school there. 17 And then I played -- I played, we call it 18 football, for one of the prominent teams over there. 19 And then one of the prominent teams in Liberia went 20 over to Sierra Leone to play and then they said, you 21 better come back home, you know, because you -- you 22 can't come here and be with these people, why are you 23 playing against us.

So I had to return home and then played for

Cedar and then played for I.E. for some time, and then

24

25

decided that school is more important than just -- than playing football in Monrovia. And so I went to Cuttington in 1983 and graduated in 1986.

In 1986, when we were graduating, you remember after the election, Emmett Harmon was the speaker of Cuttington, and I, being the valedictorian and also a member of the student government, said that we were not going to participate in that -- in that ceremony, but since being the valedictorian, I was forced to participate. And all of my relatives were there to congratulate me; I could not stay away.

But then in 1987, I got a Fulbright

Scholarship to come to the United States, and my
intent -- you know, I went to Indiana University. My
intent was to, after I finished my master's and Ph.D.,
was to go back home. In fact, at Cuttington, there
were people who were calling and saying, they have your
name everywhere, are you going to come back home, and
then the war. We just destroyed.

And so I didn't know what to do after -- It was time for me to do my field work, my dissertation field work. I decided that since I could not go back to Liberia, because my dissertation was involved with Indigenous entrepreneurs and capitalists, what I was doing, what I did in this dissertation, was to refute

the fact that capitalism came to Liberia as a result of the coming of the Settlers.

2.4

And what I was trying to show, that capitalism was already there before the 19th Century. It was not called capitalism. It was called a different name. That the Indigenous peoples in that subregion were involved in certain kind of capitalism.

And so I was able to go to the archives in London since I could not go back home, but then later on I decided I have to go and make a contribution. At this time someone had already told me what happened to my dad and mom, and I said, well, I probably might see another relative. And that's how I left London and went to Kenema and stayed at a refugee camp for a year.

And the United Nations asked me to be the head of the educational committee. We each were taking Liberian kids to the various kinds of schools in -- in Kenema. It worked out very well.

And then, then came the Charles Taylor movement and we have to move the people to Waterloo.

And in Waterloo many people said, look, you need to go back home, you need to go back to Liberia and to the United States and finish your Ph.D.

Because of all of this, I decided, I came back to the United States, wrote my dissertation. Now

there was nowhere to go home. So a friend of mine,

George Kiah said, listen, Konneh, there's no home to go
to right now. You better look for a job. And I was
reluctant at first.

I decided to move from Indiana. I taught a semester at Indiana. I decided to move from Indiana and go to Atlanta, where it's now become a second home. I've been there since 1991, and I've been there from 1991 teaching at the Atlanta University Consortium, which is made up of Morehouse, Spelman, Clark Atlanta, Morris Brown, and the Morehouse School of Medicine. And I've been there since that time teaching.

So I believe, after -- Well, let me -- let me say this again: Chairman Verdier and I, of course, we've come a long way. During those political parties back -- back home, we used to be --

Was that Central Street where we used to go and stand there and the people come and beat us up?

Was it -- It was Central Street; right? But, you know.

And -- and -- and so the story that I'm trying to make here is the fact that after all of this, I decided that when Charles Taylor became president, what I did, before that -- And I'm glad I came to Morehouse as -- as a professor, because it has helped

us to recruit a number of our Liberian students to come to that institution and to get an education.

In fact, the last thing I did, and
Chairman Verdier would know, I went to Liberia and went
to all of the high schools and recruited. At that time
we did not have any kind of an agreement with Spelman
as a female interested, so most of the people that we
brought were male.

In fact, we have over 40 students who have now graduated. The last batch just graduated. In fact, Ousman, Oukelay and Sidikie just graduated. They have jobs. At least they can go back home, but they -- you know, the jobs here, when you graduate, you have all this knowledge, they just take you and give you all this money. So now he's going to North Carolina. He's working with Wachovia.

And so only now that we're beginning to bring female students. In fact, the last time when I was there, we were looking very strongly with regards to how we can help our female students to bring them to the United States and advance their educational system.

So I have been -- After the war, in fact,

I did -- I wrote a letter to the president,

Charles Taylor at the time, and he invited me to

return. We -- And my -- my uncle. We said, well, you

know, if I come with you, they will say I'm the one who told you about all of these things. So we made
Michael, the Bishop Francis, to take us to meet
Charles Taylor. That's when I knew we were in trouble.

In fact, after -- after that meeting with Charles Taylor, we also had a conference that we call Collapsed States, and Chairman Verdier was a part of that conference where we got a -- we got a -- we got a grant from Ford. We had this conference right there at Mama Point.

And the next day, Charles Taylor -- well, first Charles Taylor said he wanted to be the opening speaker, and we refused, because we had asked the -- the -- the minister of foreign affairs at the time to be our speaker. He was a friend. Because he said, if the president say he wanted to be the opening speaker, I can be, and so we -- what we did is we brought in the United Nations director in Liberia to -- to be the opening speaker.

The next day Charles Taylor deployed troops all over the place. We had to go through the -- the -- the ambassador, the U.S. ambassador, to leave the country very quickly.

So I'm saying all of this to let you know that I have been a part of the process. I don't want

to be president of Liberia. I want to work behind the scene, but I want to make a contribution, and I'm doing it in my own little way.

And I continue to do that. In fact, in the past, this -- this government that is in place, as my uncle would tell you, I have visited them two or three times with the hope that I will return home, and -- but I keep telling them this: I don't want to work with any government. I want to do what I need to do to help Liberians. I don't have to be the minister of foreign affairs. I don't have to -- In fact, the thing I was going to do was to go to the university. It was going to work out, and now I don't know where it is, so I'm still waiting and -- and doing what I need to do. But my intent is to go home.

In fact, it's very clear that one of the things that people are afraid of is that I'm going to leave and go home at any time. But I -- I say this so each one of you will understand that this process that we're doing is a process that is very important that will help us to rebuild Liberia for it to be -- not only to be where it was, but to surpass where we have been.

COMMISSIONER PEARL BROWN BULL: Thank you for that explanation, because in what you said, you've been

1 there, you've done that, and not only that, but you're 2 making history now by participating in the TROC process. So you've convinced me of being the expert 3 4 witness. You speak like a lawyer, a 5 THE WITNESS: We -- we know that. Yes, a judge, I know you. 6 7 COMMISSIONER PEARL BROWN BULL: Loyal for 26 8 years. 9 Right. THE WITNESS: 10 COMMISSIONER PEARL BROWN BULL: Just for --11 Maybe it was lapsed lingual, and for those who maybe 12 want to say something, just let's look at what you 13 would say, and I think you talked -- said that from 14 1904 to 1943, when you were talking, you mentioned 15 Arthur Barclay as the president. Well, I think, 16 just for those who didn't -- who may have picked it 17 up, I guess, because we had Arthur Barclay, we had 18 CDB King --19 THE WITNESS: CD -- Barclay. 20 COMMISSIONER PEARL BROWN BULL: And then we 21 had Edwin Barclay. 22 Edwin Barclay, yes. THE WITNESS: 23 COMMISSIONER PEARL BROWN BULL: Because 24 Arthur Barclay, that would have been -- from 1904 to

'42, would have been 39 years.

25

1 THE WITNESS: Thirty-nine years, right. 2 COMMISSIONER PEARL BROWN BULL: And the only history, our history say there was Tubman/Boley was 3 4 there for 29 --Well, that's -- that's okay. 5 THE WITNESS: COMMISSIONER PEARL BROWN BULL: -- 27 years. 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah. He defeated Barclay. COMMISSIONER PEARL BROWN BULL: So just let 9 us -- Yes. What happened during that period was a 10 system of authoritarian. Just for those who maybe 11 picked it up and known that was just a lapsed lingual. 12 THE WITNESS: Sure. 13 COMMISSIONER PEARL BROWN BULL: And also you 14 did say about Doe, President Doe manipulating 15 ethnicity, you know, during his era. History will 16 prove that and maybe the fact is known. 17 You just again talk about -- refer to 18 Harry Yuan and not Harry Nayou as the minister --19 THE WITNESS: Harry Nayou, yes. 20 COMMISSIONER PEARL BROWN BULL: -- of state 21 for presidential affairs. 22 THE WITNESS: Sure. Right. Right. 23 COMMISSIONER PEARL BROWN BULL: Yes. 24 with that, thank you very much, Mr. Expert Witness. 25 And I -- I chair the historical review for -- the

institutional review for the TROC, and you've made my
work even easier. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Well, thank you.

(Applause.)

2.4

COMMISSIONER GERALD COLEMAN: Thank you very much.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GERALD COLEMAN: Normally I would not have asked a question, but considering your position as an expert witness and my concern for how to go forward in this country, I would like to present a situation to you and ask you to please give me your opinion about it.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER GERALD COLEMAN: First of all, from what I'm seeing as I listen to you, I realize there's a feeling that the -- the non Democratic self-interest political leadership of our country over the years, coupled with wide-spread ignorance of our people as in illiteracy, higher literacy, led to widespread poverty, social marginalization and injustice, which created a fertile soil for change in the '70s.

Then we see that regrettably in the '80s, Liberia chose a path of change that was quite similar to the Bolshevik revolution in Russia; that is, violent revolutionary strategy as compared to the rule of law or using the policies or methods at hand.

Now, because of this, it ended up turning the whole nation into a failed state and shifting leadership, meaning just putting -- you know, like musical chairs, you move one set of leaders, you put in another one, you destroy the nation, but there's no vision, there's no new direction to carry us forward.

So my original question was to ask for your recommendation, but then my colleague did ask you, and I saw that you gave us things like we need to ensure that those who are responsible for the process are punished, which means justice. We need to look into the issue of lustration, where those who brought the sorrow don't end up becoming leaders again; and we need to put mechanisms into place to help those who have suffered, meaning reparation, and we need to revisit the history and learn better from it.

But even as I look at all of these solutions, they seem to again be external; meaning, like, you know, the mind and the body, they're structural changes, but will those structural changes really bring a change when the inner heart and spiritual values of our leaders and the people have not

changed from selfishness to unselfishness?

2.2

2.4

So I would like for you to, you know, just give us some comments in that area from your experience.

THE WITNESS: Well, one of the things that I said, we -- we just finished a conference of gender-based violence in Atlanta at Emory University.

Commissioner Washington was there and I was there. I was invited as a -- a Liberian historian in aiding, providing certain kind of structures. And one of the things that I said to them was, I think it's very important for us to re-establish our value systems of moral authority. And I think it's very important to do that.

How do we re-establish those value systems is to engage those who are in leadership. And this means religious leaders, local leaders, and other kind of leaders. And I think it's very important for us to do that so that we can begin to look at what worked when we were not part of this new system, particularly this system that I believe is imported from the United States that we do now have.

What happened to the customary system? How did it work out for us? And I think when we are able to do that, we will be able to hold each other

accountable. So that's one way.

2.2

2.4

But there's another thing. Whenever a country comes out from this kind of war, conflict, there's impatience by the people. So even if there's structures that you're going to put in place, the question that people are asking, what is there for me now; not in the long run, but in the short-term.

So whatever structures -- And I always bring back my colleagues and others to look at what happened in Ghana. In Ghana what happened, even though they did not go through this kind of protracted war, there was a time where they had their own shortcomings. And when Kafua came into power, he began to put structures in place, and people said, this is not what we're looking for; we're looking for results now.

But guess what happened? That had played a very crucial role in providing dividends in what happened to the country. We even now know that even the currency of Ghana is equivalent to the dollar of the United States, if not even slightly more. So their structures are there.

And I remember coming from Liberia and going through to Ghana. I was in one of the taxi driver's car where I asked him, I said, do you think what this man is doing is going to help them. He said, well,

many people don't see it that way, but, in the long run, it's going to help us.

What is the point that I'm making here? It is good to put the foundation and structures for the long-term development, because Liberians, what we want is a quick fix. Let's fix it right now. If we fix it right now, what's going to happen in the long run is something very different.

So it seems to me that there are structures that are very important that appear to Liberians, all Liberians.

One of the things that we did when we were in Monrovia, and I took some -- some colleagues with me, is to begin to look at this question of national identity. And we did talk with my uncle here about it, what does it mean to say I'm a Liberian. And if you're able to create a national identity where everybody will see themselves within that scope, then we can begin to know that it would be wrong for me to hurt you; because why? You belong to me and I belong to you either through religion or through marriage.

One of the profound things, and I always tell people this, not that I'm glorifying Prince Johnson, but there's one thing that he said when he was interviewed one time, he said, how can we do

wrong to each other because we are now one another through marriage and through religion.

And that was so profound except that he did not practice that, but, you know --

(Members in audience speak.)

THE WITNESS: But that -- but that was so profound. It was so profound, that if we're able to have the structures that remind us, and if people begin to see ourself, because what we need is a national identity. Because all of these emblems that we're using now, we do not see ourself within them. So maybe the TRC can make recommendation.

One of the things that I really admire about South Africa is even the flag now appeals to the population. They see themself within the flag. At the beginning, they were saying, what does this got to do with us. Until they were able to change a simple fact and they saw themselves, oh, I'm part of this life. It talks about agriculture. It talks about peace. It talks about — I can relate to this.

And what do we have to be able to produce to relate to Liberians, as we struggle to be able to put structures in place. Only then that we will not go back to those things that brought us back to war.

But there's another thing that is very

important. We can put all the structures in place. We can do all of the things that we have to do. If we did not address the immediate situations of empowering people economically and politically, we're going to -- And particularly economically. We must empower people, especially those who have suffered, but also those who are victims.

In fact, at this gender-based conference, I said to them, we can talk about -- we can come and intellectualize and put all of these things, but the question is the politics of the belly. If you -- if I don't have food to eat, if I don't have water to drink, if I don't have electricity, the Liberia man -- it's very easy to fool a Liberia man. Let the man get something to drink, food to eat or rest there, and everything, it will be all right. But if he has no electricity, there's no water, they cannot pay the school fee for their children, then there's going to be a problem.

So we have to address those immediately. While we are looking at the long-term, we can look at those immediate things that will provide jobs and will provide certain kind of things that will help our Liberian people.

COMMISSIONER GERALD COLEMAN: Thank you very

1 much.

2.2

2.4

VICE CHAIRPERSON DEDE DOLOPEI: Thank you,
Mr. Konneh, for that presentation, and I want to assure
you that it will go a long way in helping us to realize
our mandate.

You talk about ethnic groups that were already present in the country before the Settlers came. When we were a small kid and going to school, we learned history in school, and our history learning started from the Settlers and we saw nothing about the Indigenous people except for one aspect on Bob Gray, the Bassa chief.

And so it came as something different when I heard you say there was the same ethnic tribes in Liberia long before the Settlers came.

And so I would like to know, could you please name those tribes that were present? If they are present, if you put it in your presentation to us, that would be all right.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

 $\label{thm:person} \mbox{ \begin{tabular}{ll} VICE CHAIRPERSON DEDE DOLOPEI: & And then \\ \mbox{ \end{tabular}} \mbox{ \end{tabular}} \mbox{ \end{tabular}}$ where did these people come from.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

VICE CHAIRPERSON DEDE DOLOPEI: And how were they distributed in the country --

1 THE WITNESS: Okay. 2 VICE CHAIRPERSON DEDE DOLOPEI: -- before the Settlers came. 3 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. VICE CHAIRPERSON DEDE DOLOPEI: 5 This is my question, and thank you very much --6 THE WITNESS: Okay. VICE CHAIRPERSON DEDE DOLOPEI: -- for that 9 fine presentation. 10 I will give that to you, THE WITNESS: Sure. 11 because I don't want to take much time in regards to 12 it, you know. But I'll give that to you in the form of 13 a presentation so that you will be able to -- But I 14 think it's very important for us to -- to know that. In fact, one of the things that I'm doing, 15 16 I've taken -- I've also taken a task. I am doing a 17 his -- a comprehensive history of Liberia. We don't 18 have a comprehensive history of Liberia. Indiana Press 19 has accepted that, and so I will see -- And my purpose 20 of doing that is to come up with a document that, you 21 know, will represent the Liberian people. 2.2 When I was a student at Cuttington -- And 23 I'm going to say something that we know. I -- I had a

dialogue. I should call it a dialogue. It's not a

debate. It's a dialogue between myself and my

2.4

25

professor, Joseph Guannu, who claimed to be the historian of Liberia who now does -- you know, and I'm sure he knows now that, no, he's not. I mean, you know... But my dialogue with him was how can we -- Because when I -- I -- As a student, I read those pamphlets. I call them pamphlets, they're not books to me, but he called them books, and we were forced to buy them as students. How can you have this kind of pamphlet that only talk about the coming of the Settlers from the onset and then minimize the role of the Indigenous as an Indigenous person yourself. So, you know, it was --

2.2

2.4

But so the task that I've undertaken is to write a comprehensive history of Liberia. I'm still working on it. I'm hoping -- I'm working very hard on it. I'm hoping that it will be out and be out very soon so that -- for consumption, so that we will be able to see how that it would help to revisit our history as a people.

VICE CHAIRPERSON DEDE DOLOPEI: Okay. Thank you, and the TROC also has that mandate to look at the history of the country --

THE WITNESS: Sure.

VICE CHAIRPERSON DEDE DOLOPEI: -- which
Commissioner Bull is the oversight for --

1	THE WITNESS: Sure.
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON DEDE DOLOPEI: to make
3	sure that those things that are missing from our
4	history will be placed there so that we know more about
5	the country.
6	THE WITNESS: Fine.
7	VICE CHAIRPERSON DEDE DOLOPEI: Especially if
8	you look at Bong County.
9	THE WITNESS: Sure.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON DEDE DOLOPEI: We had a
11	woman chief or a leader, a queen or whatever.
12	THE WITNESS: Right.
13	VICE CHAIRPERSON DEDE DOLOPEI: And
14	Suakoko
15	THE WITNESS: Suakoko.
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON DEDE DOLOPEI: Suakoko.
17	You cannot find it in any history of our country, but
18	she played a very important role
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	VICE CHAIRPERSON DEDE DOLOPEI: in our
21	history.
22	THE WITNESS: Um-uhm.
23	VICE CHAIRPERSON DEDE DOLOPEI: If you look
24	at Cuttington today, they came into Bong County because
25	Madam Suakoko was able to provide the land; whereas,

other people refused to provide land for that university to be built there. And so we need to bring all of these things into our history so our children will learn about the Indigenous people.

THE WITNESS: I agree.

2.2

2.4

VICE CHAIRPERSON DEDE DOLOPEI: Thank you so much.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. The very first time I mentioned that, I was the speaker -- Quite recently they had the Bong County Convention in Atlanta, and I was asked to be the speaker for the convention. And I brought that for the very first time and somebody said, how did he know that, you know. So one of the things that I did when I was a student is to look at that kind of history; how did Cuttington come into being, you know, in Bong County.

VICE CHAIRPERSON DEDE DOLOPEI: It's a miracle.

THE WITNESS: Yes, it's a miracle. Yes.

COMMISSIONER WASHINGTON: Okay. I have two

short questions for you, Dr. Konneh.

You took us into the joining of the different eras and the different presidencies, and you spoke of some of what was attained at the time basically from the left perspective.

I'm looking at President Tolbert. The reason being that I was born in the Tubman era but for my generation Tolbert was the one we knew. He was the president when I was in school and was assassinated when I was in junior high school.

2.2

Tolbert introduced a lot of policies that are arguable today, a lot of people are arguing over those policies. But equally so, the other side of the argument is that they thought he would have been the ultimate president perhaps had he not been assassinated early in his life or in his administration.

For example, he introduced the From Matt to Mattress policy. He also introduced a Total Involvement for Higher Heights and he introduced a war -- War Against Ignorance, Disease and Poverty, and also reform of the educational system.

I remember in high school, junior high school, he introduced a system where students entering the university who had passed both subjects, the English and math, could get a scholarship to go to the university as a form of incentives to encourage higher education. He also introduced self-reliance in food production as well as introduce other measures, agricultural offices into the different counties.

And Tubman also introduced a lot of policies

that people thought were good, like the open door, even door, it is debatable, and the reunification of Liberians, and he brought in a lot of Indigenous into main-stream politics.

Now, with what has happened here with these two presidents, basically Tolbert is someone like me.

I'm asking the question and, of course, I pose that question to you, what happened, what went wrong?

Because all of these policies, if for nothing else but from the nomenclature, seems to be very decent policies that would have definitely moved the country forward.

What went wrong there? Was he misunderstood or was there a struggle or a conflict?

And my second question, in all that has happened to our country in terms of the destruction and the evil and the hatred, do -- one, do you think greed plays a part in what has happened in Liberia? If it does, how much of a part do you think greed has played in the destruction of this country? Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Well, I think I would say that the policies of Tubman and -- and Tolbert -- First the question of the open door policy of Tubman. How open was that policy to incorporate and -- the -- the Indigenous groups within that policy.

In fact, there is a book that is written out

of that called Growth Without Development. Yes, there was -- there were policies for growth, but was that development in terms of the manpower that was within?

I would say, no, that was growth without development.

Total involvement, these are very symbolic and cosmetic ideas, but in terms of the implementation of those ideas -- I was also at junior high, high school at the time, and I remember we used to go to Tolbert's son, that was -- used to live around U.N. Drive where we used to go and talk to him about our aspiration and our ideas, and -- and you would re-echo these ideas of total involvement and all of that.

To what extent that structures were put in place for this total involvement rather than holding Indigenous people -- Because we used to go there and said, we want to be totally involved; how can we as young people. You know, we can't pay our school fees, our parents can't help us out. How can we be totally involved in -- in this process of developing the nation. It was very difficult for us.

So they are very cosmetic, but in terms of the implementation, we did not see that.

The question of greed. There is no doubt, in fact, greed has played a paramount place with

regards to the decadence that we do see in Liberia.

There's many people who believe first they have
monopoly in terms of position and monopoly in terms of
ideas.

And as a result of that, we have seen that people have amassed benefit. You know, I mean, we were looking at, from a qualitative kind of research, just looking at the resources that we do have in Liberia. When you take the resources that you have in Liberia and you look at the population, it's enough to get around. How can one group, small elite group, monopolize all of this, and just make it for themself.

And since then what we are seeing, all of those that have come to power -- In fact, there are many Liberians believe if you want to be -- if you want to be rich, just be a movie star or be the president, then you'll be rich, instead of going there to work for the people. So greed, of course, played a very important part in terms of the situation of where we are.

COMMISSIONER JOHN STEWART: Thank you very much, Professor Konneh, for your presentation.

Only due to the limitation of time, I perhaps may not be able to ask you the questions I would like to ask, and -- but there's just two -- one

or two things I would like to draw your attention to.

And, first, is the linkage. I think -- I didn't -- I didn't get -- I didn't see you highlight that, the linkage of economics to the evolution of politics in Liberia and how that helped enhance the -- the slide into authoritarianism.

And like I said, because of the limitation of time, perhaps if we look at the history of Liberia, especially in the -- in the 1870s or so where we saw an economic decline. Prior to that Liberia was competing, we had ships, plane, exporting produce and what -- what have you, but with the advent of the 1870s, we saw how that growth basically on trade faltered as a result of uneven competition between Liberian traders who were then not using the steamships that Europeans were using. And all of that helped facilitate. If you look at our history, you can see there's a close linkage between economic decline and political authoritarianism, so to speak.

But most importantly, I would like to find out from you or see where the linkage can be drawn to the role the U.S. has played. You talk about the U.S. -- the role the U.S. played in the founding of the Liberian state. As a matter of fact, the composer of the United States National Anthem was one of the

founders of the American Colonization Society.

The role the U.S. has played at one point in our history, Liberia was considered a strategic partner of the U.S., was considered the Achilles heel of the NATO Alliance, but with the decline of the Cold War, the whole situation changed and what you saw was like a hands-off policy. But we saw that in the 1985 election and which Doe stole, the U.S. Secretary of State said, by African standards, the elections are free and fair. When Liberians are complaining about unfair elections and how the results were stolen -- I don't remember who was the Secretary of State then, but I think it was Schultz. Yeah. He said by African standards, the elections are free and fair.

And -- and also, the role the U.S. has played, the U.S. official government policy, has played in the destabilization of Liberia, including the assassination of President Tolbert and all of that.

But, again, like I said, time perhaps does not permit us --

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER JOHN STEWART: -- the luxury to explore all of this --

THE WITNESS: No.

COMMISSIONER JOHN STEWART: -- and so I will

just stop right there.

Thank you very much.

THE WITNESS: Well, let me -- let me just say this: That, you know, time is not on our side. As you saw in the 1870s, Liberia was in debt. In fact, at one point Germany and other countries wanted to come in and help Liberia resolve their debt problem. And then the United States said, hold on, we are now very much interested in this country.

So there's no doubt that that economy that was there played a very significant role with regards to the decline of the situation and bringing in authoritarianism, and we do not have time to be able to do that.

But the question of the role of the United States. When I came to the United States, I was very much troubled because all of the things that we were told about our relationship with the United States, and first -- the first shock that I had was when someone introduced me somewhere and said, this is Augustine Konneh, this is this guy who is coming from Libya. I said, what? You remember at that time Libya was not in the good books of the United States, and everybody kept looking at me, Libya. I said, hold on, hold on; not Libya, Liberia.

So people have told us about how we were such -- this great ally with the United States. And even people here don't even know like where Liberia is, don't even know that there are Americo-Liberians that left here and -- and settled over there and they started to have this long relationship. They don't even know that.

But people don't even know, in terms of how the United -- how Liberians supported the United States during the Second World War. People don't know that.

That's why we have that Omega in that area.

And people don't even know that during the industrial revolution period, when there was this competition between England and the United States, it was Liberia's rubber that played a very significant role. People don't know that kind of history. So it very well --

So that means at the time when we had our own problems, we looked at the United States as a result of this relationship, but it's also important for us to understand, United States played a very significant role in terms of the problems that we do have.

Doe came to the United States and got those ideas about going back about -- about all the question

about Indigenous people and then created a coup, and then they supported Doe for a very long period of time.

Then when the civil war -- When Doe was not doing the right thing, it take somebody to get Doe out. So for the bad person, you look for another bad person to come and take that bad person out, and when that bad person doesn't do what you want him to, then you look for another bad person to put there. That's the kind of policy that the United States do have.

So there's no doubt that the United States has been -- that played a role, whether good or bad, in terms of the establishment of what has become Liberia.

And we still continue.

I said to the president recently -- You know, I had dinner at Emory University in Atlanta and we had dinner with her. One -- I told her, I said to the president, I know you're going -- you're going to hate me for saying this, but I don't think I will forgive you for allowing our -- the base to come to Liberia when all the other countries were, you know, denying having that base to come over there. Why should we be the one, you know. But it's that kind of situation. Because we always think that we have this long history with the United States, and we always have that, but United States does not --

In fact, one of the -- the Secretary of
State said it very well: We have no more economic
interests in Liberia, strategic interests in Liberia,
so we don't care what happens to Liberia. You can
destroy the Omega, you can do whatever, you know, we
don't have any more economic interests, and this is
very clear even today.

COMMISSIONER OUMU SYLLAH: Thank you very much, Dr. Konneh, for the review of the Liberian history, and I would like to ask you two questions.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

COMMISSIONER OUMU SYLLAH: During your presentation you talk about the governance during the process from 1904 to 2000, and then you talk about the Indigenous people. What was life like, what was the relationship between the Indigenous people before the arrival of the Settlers?

THE WITNESS: They were very cordial. In fact, there was intermingling and intermarrying. In fact, we do have evidence in terms of their laws and terms of -- there was not -- not -- I don't want to paint a picture here where we can say that everything was perfect in terms -- you know, because there were people were fighting for land, there were people doing other kind of things, but to a larger extent, there

were some kind of cordiality and some kind of collegiality, if you would like, that brought these groups together so that they would be able to interact with each other.

There's a -- a piece that I wrote with regards to this kind of interaction that went on with regards to the Indigenous groups, how they -- And time wouldn't be able to permit me to -- to elaborate on that with regards to it. But by and large, the question of ethnicity did not surface in Liberia until modern day politics became the throne, because before that, ethnic groups -- I mean, because you can even see, even during the war, it doesn't matter whether you belong to the right ethnic group or not, people still were killed. Even though they were belonging to the -- You can even say, well, I'm -- I'm Krahn. They will say, no, but you're not, or on this they will say no.

So the point I'm making here, that ethnicity did not play a divisive role with regards to what happened in Liberia prior to what became known as modern day politics in Liberia.

What we're seeing now in terms of ethnicity, surfacing as a divisive element is because leaders have used this and brought it out for people to begin to see that they can have protection within their own groups

and to isolate others. So therefore it has become what one writer says, you know, is trying to scramble for prominency, you know, among these ethnic groups as a result of this pluralization that we see here.

So in initial stage, there was this mingling, and I hope you go back to that, because even more now, we -- we can't even tell the difference between the different groups because of this -- you know, we belong to the same religion, we now intermarry, we do have kids, and do have -- You know, that should be able to provide the kind of unity that we need to come together.

COMMISSIONER OUMU SYLLAH: And the last question would be, as an expert in history, there's some misconception as to what actually happened to E.J. Roye. Can you clarify on that?

THE WITNESS: That's a long story. Let me just put it in -- in basic terms. This question of black -- well, light-skinned and dark-skinned, created a dissension whereby there's some kind of alleged story about corruption that end up getting E.J. Roye out and later on, you know, whatever they did to him, got sick, you know. We're still trying -- What we do have on E.J. Roye right now is very tentative and subject to kind of revision. But it's this fight that was going

1	on between the light-skinned Settlers and the
2	dark-skinned Settlers. And E.J. Roye, being a
3	dark-skinned Settler, were just kicked out of the place
4	and used all kind of allegations against him so that he
5	would not continue his presidency.
6	COMMISSIONER OUMU SYLLAH: Thank you very
7	much for coming to the Commission.
8	CHAIRMAN JEROME VERDIER: Dr. Konneh, I want
9	to thank you very much for coming and making a
10	presentation. You may leave.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
12	(Applause.)
13	CHAIRMAN JEROME VERDIER: Well, ladies and
14	gentlemen, we want to thank you for joining us this
15	morning for the first half of our hearings today. We
16	will now take a 15 to 20 minute break and then we'll
17	resume with our second witness. Thank you very much.
18	See you in 20 minutes.
19	MR. SIRLEAF: The hearings will reconvene at
20	2:30 in this same hall. Thank you very much.
21	(Proceedings adjourned at 1:39 p.m.)
22	* * *
23	
24	
25	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, JACKIE YOUNG, a Registered Professional
4	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages of
5	typewritten material constitute an accurate verbatim
6	stenographic record taken by me of the proceedings
7	aforementioned before the Truth and Reconciliation
8	Commission of Liberia, on the 10th day of June, 2008,
9	at the time and place specified.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	DATED: June 30, 2008.
15	
16	
17	Jackie Young Registered Professional Reporter
18	Minnesota Association of Verbatim Reporters & Captioners
19	P.O. Box 375 Marshall, Minnesota 56258
20	U.S.A. www.mavrc.org
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	